The same

have both of you.

I state frankly, abmitted here by l like to ask, if naturally, as the ore, if we can. Kirk planned on

oon, sir.

tnesses who may all of you back d for all of you,

that the general ould not want to nething there in I in that tragic on the question

ecess, then, until me say that the the close of his

s taken, subject

NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT—UNIFICATION OF THE ARMED FORCES

TUESDAY, APRIL 22, 1947

UNITED STATES SENATE, Commutee on Armed Services, Washington, D. C.

方理(n) Alb 14 2014年71日本

Washington, D. C.

The committee met at 10 a.m., pursuant to adjournment, in room 212, Senate Office Building, Senator Chan Gurney (chairman) presiding.

Present: Senators Gurney (chairman), Robertson of Wyoming,

Saltonstall, Tydings, and Byrd.
The Charman. The committee will come to order.

Prior to this meeting this morning, we heard representatives of the Army and the Navy.

This morning, the committee is very happy to have the top ranking man in the fine United States Marines, General Alexander A. Vandegrift, Commandant of the United States Marine Corps.

General the committee is very anxious to have in the record your

General, the committee is very anxious to have in the record your statement on this very important piece of postwar legislation. You may proceed in any way you wish. I am sure before many minutes of the hearing go by, we will have some more committee members present. If you proceed with your formal statement, they can catch up with your property when they arrive.

you when they arrive.

STATEMENT OF GEN. A. A. VANDEGRIFT, COMMANDANT, UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, WASHINGTON, D. C.

General Vandegriff. Mr. Chairman, I have a very brief formal statement that I would like to read to the committee, if I may.

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, as Commandant of Marines and spokesman for our corps, I desire to discuss certain

features of the pending measure.

I have never opposed the principle of unification, although I have expressed strong disapproval of the objectives underlying the original Collins plan for outright merger of the armed forces. I am in accord with the over-all objectives which the bill now under consideration

In order to make clear what I consider the over-all objectives of this

bill, I would like to interpolate the following paragraph:
The over-all objectives of S. 758, as I see them, are those of establishing a more economically integrated means of providing for national security and at the same time formalizing the proven machinery that was developed to such good purpose during the war, and included in this bill, such as:

小连遍有一种整整的一种植物的主要数据

The Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Manifons Board, the Research and Development Board, the Central Intelligence Agency, the National Security Council, and the National Security Resources Board.

In order to tie together these agencies, and for better coordination in the Council of the Council o

In order to tie together these agencies, and for better coordination of the several services, there should be an executive appointed from civil life by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, whose duty, under the direction of the President, shall be to recommend to him policies and programs for the National Defense Establishment. He should be empowered to exercise supervision and coordination of the departments and agencies.

Since reading some of the testimony which has been given before this committee, particularly that of General Eisenhower and the Under Secretary of War, Mr. Revall, I have become increasingly concerned about the danger of lessening the degree of civilian, including congressional, control over our Military Establishment by concentrating great powers in a single individual. As a result of this testimony I have become apprehensive of the results that may ensue unless those powers are more sharply defined and there is a clearer meeting of minds as to just what authority is vested in the Secretary of National Defense.

I think it is apparent to everyone that this is a bill of the broadest national character, with a heavy impact upon the civilian as well as the military structure. I am sure that any imperfections will be adequately dealt with by other witnesses called before this committee, witnesses who are leaders in the fields of government, education, science, industry, and labor, and who are competent to speak in the broad terms necessary. My own comments will be confined to the military aspects of the bill, and within that field I shall limit myself still further to those defects of immediate concern to the Marines.

Stated concisely and with respect to the Marine Corps, the defects of the bill are twofold:

First: It affirms the existence of the Marine Corps without expressly stating the roles and missions which the corps is expected to perform.

Second. It completely excludes the Marine Corps from participation in the joint bodies and agencies which the bill would establish. In the balance of my statement, I shall elaborate upon these de-

fects and attempt to convey to you their full import.

The failure of the bill to assign specific functions to the Marine Corps is a source of grave concern to me, for it allows the corps to be stripped of everything but name—to reduce it to a role of military impotence. I wish to dwell upon the position of extreme vulnerability in which the corps will be placed by enactment of the bill in its

Unless a statutory statement of the missions of the Marine Corps is included, its functions become dependent solely upon the arbitrary judgment of the Secretary of National Defense. The size, the character, and the organization of the corps will lie in his hands. There is not a single specialty which the Marine Corps has brought to a state of perfection which cannot be summarily transferred to some other service.

Section 106 of maches and which mar ne Corps wit anty that the corp roday, with the on Mar ne Corps exi unless it is accomp I pelieve that (the sort of Marin wish to maintain have known in the /ar : ds our maval ove oped amphib man to sail with ever the occasion There is only on A arine Corps. the Jarines to do. 1 egard it as hi Congress specify t instate the reason armed services int ave al component component is pred actually exists—tl A specifically whether the t ency still existshed by the events min's the Marine fort a in law-oth nd legally, ignor The other defe xelusion of mari o established lou t Staff down of marine officers to these joint boo of corps which The Marine Co ts intire existen 🗤 y today are i thatking of mari devoted their cor and perfection of .n joint operation era joint boards and substantial c nat on, a contribu

Section 106 of the bill, which contains the sole mention of the marines and which goes no further than to adirm the presence of a Marine Corps within the Department of the Navy, contains no guaranty that the corps will retain a single one of the characteristics it has today, with the one exception of its name. As a guaranty of vigorous Marine Corps existence, section 106 is completely without meaning unless it is accompanied by a statement of what the roles and missions of the Marine Corps shall be.

of the Marine Corps shall be.

I believe that Congress and the people have a clear-cut idea as to the sort of Marine Corps they wish to main ain. I believe that they wish to maintain in the future the same kind of a Marine Corps they wish to maintain in the future the same kind of a Marine Corps they have known in the past—one which serves aboard our ships of war and guards our naval stations—one which protects our national interests on foreign shores when danger threatens—above all, one which has developed amphibious warfare and which stands ready in substantial force to sail with the fleet to wage such warfare whenever and wherever the occasion arises.

ever the occasion arises.

There is only one way to insure that the Nation shall have this kind of Marine Corps. That insurance—that guaranty—is a plain and unmistakable legislative statement of exactly what Congress expects

the Marines to do.

I regard it as highly appropriate, as well as urgently necessary, that Congress specify the functions of the corps. To do so is no more than to state the reasons for its existence. When Congress calls the several armed services into being, it recognizes a specific need for each of the several components of national security. The very existence of each component is predicated upon a conclusion by Congress that a mission actually exists—that a role must be filled.

As executionally relates to the Marine Congress constants and the second constants are securifically relates to the Marine Congress.

actually exists—that a role must be first.

As specifically relates to the Marine Corps. Congress should ask itself whether the traditional need for an amphibious fighting force-inbeing still exists—whether the need for a Marine Corps is in fact justified by the events of the past and forecasts of the future. If it determines the Marine Corps' function is still to exist, then it should be set forth in law—otherwise the implicit will of Congress can be utterly,

and legally, ignored.

The other defect of the bill, as it affects the Marine Corps, is the exclusion of marine representatives from the joint bodies and agencies to be established or given statutory recognition by the bill. From the Joint Staff down to the Central Intelligence Agency, the participation of marine officers is not mentioned. As the bill now stands, it denies to these joint bodies the wealth of experience and unique knowledge to these point bodies the wealth of experience and unique knowledge.

or marine omcers is not mentioned. As the bill now stands, it defrees to these joint bodies the wealth of experience and unique knowledge of a corps which literally epitomizes joint operations.

The Marine Corps has participated in joint operations throughout its entire existence. The joint doctrines of both the Army and the Navy today are in large part the results of the research, study, and thinking of marine officers who, for 20 years prior to Pearl Harbor, devoted their conscientious and unceasing efforts to the formulation and perfection of the methods and techniques of amphibious warfare in joint operations. I ask that marine officers be included in the several joint boards and agencies. I ask it because they have a distinct and substantial contribution to make in the field of interservice coordination, a contribution born of their experience.

from of the all be fense n and

d the y conuding oncentestite unlearer retary

ell as
ill be
comducaspeak
hed to
limit

o the efects

ted to

icipaiblish.

farine to be litary bility in its

Corps itrary char-There to a some

logistic problems involved in fighting on global scale. We all remember the confusion which resulted; the setting of seemingly impossible production schedules, the critical shortages of certain basic materials, and the frantic efforts to gear ourselves for the task ahead.

To coordinate the various aspects of our armament program, there

were created certain wartime agencies which, becond any doubt, saved the day for us. Born of necessity and nurtured on the bitter reality that their efforts would mean for us victory or defeat, these wartime agencies transformed the Nation from a state of unproductive confu-

sion, to the "arsenal of democracy."

We have consolidated this lesson in the organization proposed in this bill. The provisions which establish the Munitions Board and the National Security Resources Board should insure for us the support of the industrial capacity of the Nation. Approved strategic and logistic plans initially prepared by the Joint Chiefs of Staff will be supported, through agencies such as the National Security Resources Board and the Munitions Board, and will be formulated in the light of all of the industrial, economic, and material considerations necessary to support these strategic plans.

This integration of our military requirements with our industrial capacity to support these requirements is an important feature of the bill. We seek to eliminate harmful duplication between the services.

Our attention is definitely focused on the future in the provision for a Research and Development Board. We must assure that our weapons will be at least as good as those likely to be used by our enemies. This bill, by setting up an organization to control scientific research as it relates to our national security, will give us that assurance.

The Research and Development Board will do more than its name.

implies. Scientific research for the fighting services will be conducted under its cognizance, and the results therefrom will be translated into new weapons and new tactics. The research programs of the three service departments will be coordinated and allocation of responsibility for specific programs shall be made among them.

The provision for an adequate agency for intelligence is further indication that this bill looks to the future. It would establish an organization known as the Central Intelligence Agency, charged with responsibility for collection of information from all available sources, including Government agencies, such as the State Department and the FBI, evaluation of that information, and dissemination thereof to all interested organizations. This plan is intended to secure complete coverage of the wide field of intelligence and should minimize. duplication of effort.

The bill provides that the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency, who shall be appointed by the President, may be either a civilian or a commissioned officer of the armed forces. In this provision the bill acknowledges that military intelligence is a composite of authenticated and evaluated information covering not only the armed forces establishment of a possible enemy, but also his industrial capacity, racial traits, religious beliefs, and other related aspects.

I have touched only briefly on the features of the bill. Vice. Admiral Sherman, who participated in its drafting, will present to you a detailed analysis of its provisions.

In my opinion, th with a more effec security. I recomm The CHAIRMAN. than we later call Admiral Carney. nexs week sometime. Admiral, I remen history before the c one time the first pre

I think last year, the approval. Can you, either be minee what features position in the Navy

Admiral Nixurz. Chief of Staff who co The CHAIRMAN, A

single chief, in this b hower mentioned yes the war and realized Chads of Staff was r where prompt decision I realized that I had the Joint Chiefs of S A tactical comman tactical decisions wh and pressure does no

strategic plans. The CHAIRMAN. N such a Joint Chiefs of directly to the Joint Air Force, and the is it not?

Admira] manua res Admiral NIMITZ. President. The gen

manders, the theater and directives of the Тве Снагман. До

go through the Secret to the Fresidenc, the Admiral NIMITE ider the Commiser the single Secr the Commande that no matter what

relieved of his const Navy; and it is my c will exercise just as m ier to the admiral

Murphy was at was in translating. anguage.

ard was there.

es that you speak did Mr. Clifford omise? and Navy.

were primarily in ancil to the Presito the President, ational Defense. ord did not sugy the Army and

e Army and the a the Army and

ide of military

ere the primary

definition of the ction to writing

riting the funcone briefly, and y that I would ning that is con-1 we also agreed a, it will prevent liture.

Aviation, you do

ctions of naval

u regard as the

incorporating

ce no objection "arious services.

Are you willing to support inclusion in the bill of the basic functions of naval aviation as enunciated by you?

Admiral Sherman. Yes, sir. Senator Byrd. Are you then willing to incorporate the basic functions of the Marine Corps?

Admiral Sherman. Yes, sir.

The Chairman. By the same token, Admiral, if you start with the naval aviation and the Marine Corps, then necessarily you must put all of the wording, let us say, of the functions of all branches of the Armed Forces into it.

Admiral Sherman. That is correct, sir.

And I would like to come back to the point that if it is attempted to do that too extensively and too exactly, it could have a very undesirable effect for the future.

Senator Byrd. But you do not consider your definition of the basic functions of naval aviation, or the Marine Corps to be too extensive. You regard that as a minimum, do you not?

Admiral Sherman. Yes, sir.
Senator Byrd. And I think there is a clear difference as to naval aviation, because the effort may be made for the Air Force to take over naval aviation. And I have said before and repeat now, that I do not intend to vote for any bill that will not protect naval aviation;

likewise, that will not protect the Marine Corps.

I think General Eisenhower, as I recall it, said something about the fact that it was his thought that the Marine Corps should be part of the landing force, or part of the Army; I have forgotten his exact language. But I regard the Marine Corps as absolutely essential in case of war, as I regard naval aviation as being absolutely essential to

And you, as a naval officer, I know, will agree with me on that. I am not going to depend upon any Executive order to outline those basic functions. I agree that we cannot go into detail, necessarily, about the other functions of the Navy or the other functions of the Army. But I do think those two basic functions should be incorporated by the statement of rated into this bill, and I wanted to get your opinion as to whether you saw any objection to that.

Admiral Sherman. I agree with General Eisenhower's statement when he was testifying: That there would be no objection to putting

in the basic functions of the services.

Senator Byrd. But is it not true that General Eisenhower said something else, at some other time, in regard to the Marine Corps, as to changing the present functions of the Marine Corps. Is that not

Admiral Sherman. Well, General Eisenhower's concept of the status and purpose of the Marine Corps was not the same as mine, during one period

Senator Byrn. That was one thing I wanted to clear up. As far as I am concerned, I would like to see that in the bill.

Senator Tydines. Admiral, I do not want to revert to another subject, and maybe you would prefer to bring it up in the regular course: But looking at this proposed chart (See chart, facing p. 153) of the way that the unification will be carried out under the bill, when you get down to the Central Intelligence Agency, which certainly is one

Approved For Release 2002/08/23: CIA-RDP90-00610R0001002100013 of the functions set forth in the bill, I notice that it reports directly to the President and does not seem to have any line running to the War Department, or the Navy Department, or to the Secretary for Air. And I was wondering if that rather excluded position, you might say was a whole one that

rather excluded position, you might say, was a wholesome thing.

It seems to me that that Central Intelligence Agency ought to have more direct contact with the Army and the Navy and the Air Force; and as I see it on the chart here, it is pretty well set aside and goes only to the President. What is the reason for that?

Admiral Sherman. Well, sir, this diagram shows the primary control of the Central Intelligence Agency through the National Secu-

trol of the Central Intelligence Agency through the National Security Council which, of course, is responsible to the President. But, of course, the Central Intelligence Agency, by its detailed directive, takes information in from the military services and also supplies them with information.

In other words, it is a staff agency and controlled through the National Security Council, which is supported by the military services, and, in turn, supports them.

Senator Typings. It seems to me that of course they would diffuse Senator Tydings. It seems to me that of course they would diffuse such information as a matter of orderly procedure to the Army, Navy, and Air Force, as they collected the information and as they deemed it pertinent. But I would feel a little more secure about it if there were a line running from that agency to the War Department, the Navy Department, and the Air Force, rather than have it go up through the President and back again. Because the President is a rather busy man, and while he has control over it, one of its functions, it seems to me, ought to be to have a closer tie-in with the three services than the chart indicates.

Admiral Stremman. Well, sir, that is a defect in the diagram. Actually, the Security Council, placed directly over it, has members of the three departments, the Secretary of National Defense, the Central Intelligence Agency, who collaborates very closely with Military and Naval Intelligence, and there are a good prays, these controls of the controls of the controls of the control of the controls of the controls of the control of the controls of the control of the con Naval Intelligence, and there are a good many other cross-relation-

Senator Typinos. I realize that, but even so, I think intelligence is about as important a part of running a war as there is, as I know under the President; which is all right for general direction purposes, but I do not feel satisfied in having it over there without some lines running to the War Department, the Navy Department, and the Air Force, even though that might follow and they might do it anyhow.

Admiral Sherman. Well, in a further development of this chart, we might show a line of callaboration and savel and for extending

we might show a line of collaboration and service, and so on, extending from the Central Intelligence Agency to the three departments, and to these others.

Senator Typines. To the Joint Chiefs of Staff, anyway.

Admiral Sherman. They serve the Joint Chiefs of Staff, as a matter of fact. We have a Central Intelligence in the Policy Council of the Possarch and Possarch

cil of the Research and Development Board at the present time.

Senator Tydings. If you ever do another chart, will you do me the favor of connecting that up with those three Departments and with the Joint Chiefs of Staff? Because it looks like it is set up in that way. to advise the President, more than to advise the services and the Joint

Chie's of Staff; wh opinion.

Admiral SHERM the primary line of from the President stational reasons. Senator Typings find come way that ment from the W Intelligence Agend the army, Navy, Pear Harbor con information?" Ar

That is one thing At das this is set more or less detach Acmiral Sherm gence-Navy is now Senator Byrn. Central Intelligene any commissioned off the United States Air

Is it the intent th Acmiral SHERN and do not think nissive. Section ! sithough it is very he appointed to the waic i safeguards Senator Byrn. 1 appointed?

Acmiral SHERM Se rator Byrd. \ is vorded is cert Acmiral Sherm third that the lang Pres dent," there all a life," or vice Se nator Typing. On, under the ca ect up here, separa sedung the services man dment in the ctook; that this C Departments and ille Liree Departm lone all off to itsel rially, but it would semething for the Acmiral Sнеим the language as to

forth in the bill, I il does not seem to the Navy Departwondering if that

iesome thing. ency ought to have and the Air Force; set aside and goes

s the primary conhe National Secue President. But, detailed directive, also supplies them

d through the Naв military services,

they would diffuse o the Army, Navy nd as they deemed e about it if there r Department, the an have it go up the President is a ne of its functions, -in with the three

∎e diagram. Actuans members of the fense, the Central with Military and her cross-relation-

think intelligence there is, as I know itself, and is only direction purposes, without some lines ment, and the Air ht do it anyhow. ment of this chart, nd so on, extending departments, and

anyway. efs of Staff, as a the Policy Counpresent time. will you do me the artments and with set up in that way vices and the Joint NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT 175
Approved For Release 2002/08/23: CIA-RDP90-00610R000100210001-3
Chiefs of Staff; which, of course, is not the intention of it at all, in my

opinion. Admiral SHERMAN. We tried, in this particular chart, to show only

the primary line of control, with the exception of the one dotted line from the President to the Joint Chiefs of Staff, which is there for constitutional reasons.

Senator Typings. Well, I hope that my comments will cause us to find some way that we can make sure that someone will offer an amendment from the War Department or the Navy Department that the Intelligence Agency is to have direct tie-in with the Joint Chiefs and the Army, Navy, and Air Force. Otherwise, we may have another Pearl Harbor controversy, with the question arising, "Who got the information?" And the reply, "It was not transmitted."

That is one thing that should not happen again.

And as this is set up, it would lend the layman the opinion that it was more or less detached, rather than an integral part of the three services.

Admiral Sherman. I can assure you, sir, that the Central Intelligence-Navy is now serving us very effectively.

Senator Byrn. I see, Admiral, that in the section relating to the Central Intelligence Agency on page 20, you provide that-

any commissioned officer of the United States Army, the United States Navy, or the United States Air Force may be appointed to the office of Director.

Is it the intent that he shall be a military man?

Admiral Sherman. That is not the intent of that language, sir, and I do not think this bill does require that. Section 202 (b) is permissive. Section 202 (a) provides that there be a director; 202 (b), although it is now leavely provides that a commissioned officer may although it is very lengthy, provides that a commissioned officer may be appointed to that office. And then there is considerable language which safeguards his position in the military service in that event.

Senator Byrd. Do you construe from that that a civilian could be appointed?

appointed?

Admiral Sherman. Yes, sir. Senator Byrn. Would it not be better to make that clear? The way

it is worded is certainly persuasive, if not definitely controlling.

Admiral Sherman. Since this is not the first time it has come up, I Admiral Sherman. Since this is not the first time it has come up, I think that the language of the bill would be improved if, in 202 (a), where it says, with reference to the director, "to be appointed by the President," there were added such a phrase as "from military or civilian life," or vice versa. I think that would clarify it.

Senator Tydings. Admiral, that is an awfully short bit of explanation, under the caption "Central Intelligence Agency," the way it is get up here, sparrately to be appointed by the President, and super-

tion, under the caption "Central Intelligence Agency," the way it is set up here, separately, to be appointed by the President, and superseding the services now run by the Army and the Navy, I respectfully submit to you and to General Norstad that it might be wise to put an amendment in there, in order to make certain that the thing is understood; that this Central Intelligence Agency shall service the three Departments and the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and have some tie-in with the three Departments rather than to leave it hanging up there on a the three Departments, rather than to leave it hanging up there on a limb all off to itself. I do not think that would change anything materially, but it would clarify it, and make it plain that we are setting up

something for the purposes for which we conceive it to be set up.
Admiral Sherman. Well, sir, I would like to make a comment on the language as to the Central Intelligence Agency.

As an cina in the decise 2002/08/20 relia Physical Decision of the decise 2002/08/20 relia Physical Decision of the manner that it should be covered by law by law.

Senator Typings. Admiral, my point in simply this: That under the wording as to the Central Intelligence Agency which begins on page 20 and ends at the top of page 22, it deals more or less with consolidation and not with the duties that devolve upon that office.

It seems to me there is a void in the bill there that ought to be

Admiral Sherman. Well, we considered the matter of trying to cover the Central Intelligence Agency adequately, and we found that that matter, in itself, was going to be a matter of legislation of considerable confidence. siderable scope and importance.

Senator Troings. A separate bill?

Admiral Sherman. A separate bill. And after consultation with General Vandenberg, we felt it was better in this legislation only to show the relationship of the Central Intelligence Agency to the National Security Council, and then leave to separate legislation the task of a full and thorough development of the Central Intelligence

Agency.

Senator Typings. Well, now, for the record, is it safe for this committee to assume that during this session it is likely that a bill will have a senator assumed the Captural Intelligence Agency in the particcome along dealing with the Central Intelligence Agency in the particulars that we have had under discussion?

Admiral Sherman. It is my understanding that that will take place. The Charman. How about that, General Vandenberg? General Vannenmers (Lt. Gen. Hoyt S. Vandenberg, Chief, Central Intelligence Agency). The enabling act is prepared, but we do not want to submit that until we have reason for it.

Senator Saltonstall. To carry out that point, look at subparagraph (c), sections (1) and (2). The bill does talk about functions there. It does take up certain functions that are now in existence and transfers them to this acency.

and transfers them to this agency.

If you are going to take up any functions, let me ask you, to follow up your question, should you not take up all the functions, or leave

out that subsection (c) in some way?

Senator Typings. What happens under the bill, it seems to me, is that the consolidation itself takes place, but the services which are to be performed, and by whom they are to be performed, and for whom they are to be performed, are not very clearly set out. And I imagine it would be better in a separate bill. But I did not know the separate bill was coming, and in looking over the bill, it seemed to me to deal very shortly with a very important operation.

So your question and mine probably both would be answered in

the new bill that is coming along.
Senator Salronstall. The only point, to try to carry out what you said: It does mention some functions here, but does not mention all the functions.

Senator Typings. And it deals with consolidation of functions. Senator Saltonstall. It takes over certain functions not now in existence, if you will read subparagraph (c) there.

Senator Typings. That is what I mean.

Admiral SHERMAN. The intent of this language was merely to transfer the duties of the existing intelligence agency to the Se-

NATIO curity Council, and, r group as it is now cor over to the authority.

I would suggest th letter directive unde functioning now, and will clarify this whole

The CHAIRMAN. A! denberg. I am sure il we will have that in at

Senator Robertson In connection with that this should be do

I think the question more clearly and she matter for the Congr are the functions of them that, of the serv

There is a very gr and among the Air also, as to the aviation

will actually go to No But I think the C

L. scens to me, Mr here.

Practically every far as I can see, we wi The very fact tha the Navy, and the I agreed to sponsor th the armed services th services, and there i I am sorry that I ca

I would like to rea ington Post of Thursheaded "End of the

Interesting light on t Frank Armstrong in ar a dinner tendered by No General Armstrong, training B-20 crews, in the Army Air Forces and of being a subordinate outing it was a pred predond and force during muon, whether you like not: The Army Air Fo going to have anything and they will probably k "Now, as for the M small, fuled-up army in the legular Army going to send up by o Greund Forces too. To interesting light on the

impletely covering the t it should be covered

iply this: That under ency which begins on more or less with conupon that office. nero that ought to be

matter of trying to ly, and we found that of legislation of con-

iter consultation with his legislation only to ce Agency to the Na-parate legislation the e Central Intelligence

is it safe for this com-likely that a bill will Agency in the partic-

at that will take place. andenberg? mberg, Chief, Central pared, but we do not

int, look at subparatalk about functions are now in existence

me ask you, to follow ie functions, or leave

ill, it seems to me, is e services which are performed, and for early set out. And I at I did not know the bill, it seemed to me ion.

ould be answered in

o carry out what you does not mention all

ion of functions. unctions not now in

nage was merely to e agency to the Se-

curity Council, and, next, to move the functions of the intelligence group as it is now constituted, by a letter directive of the President,

I would suggest that it might be beneficial to take the existing letter directive under which the Central Intelligence Agency is functioning now, and insert it in the record. Because that letter will clarify this whole matter.

The CHARMAN. At the time the committee hears General Vandenberg, I am sure the General will bring with him that letter and we will have that in at the start of those hearings.

Senator Robertson. Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask a question. In connection with making this more definite and certain, I feel

that this should be done in this bill: I think the question of Naval Aviation should be set forth n ach more clearly and should be a congressional decision, making it a matter for the Congress to decide, or to determine in this bill, what are the functions of the various three arms, if you wish to call them that, of the service.

them that, of the service.

There is a very great difference of opinion among the Navy men and among the Air Force men, particularly, and among the Airny also, as to the aviation points of this bill; as to how much aviation will actually go to Navy; and as to the status of the Marine Corps.

But I think the Congress should set that up very clearly in this bill.

It seems to me, Mr. Chairman, we are under a very great hand cap here.

Practically every witness that we have had before us and that, as far as I can see, we will have, is in favor of this bill.

The very fact that the Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy, and the President have more or less agreed to this bill, agreed to sponsor this bill, really is a notification to every man in the armed services that that is the department of the various armed services, and there must be no statements or words issued against it; and I feel that the Navy has carried that out to the letter.

I am sorry that I cannot say the same for the Λ ir Force.

I would like to read into the record at this time, from the Washington Post of Thursday, March 20, 1947, a statement by a columnist, headed "End of the Marines." [Reading:]

headed "End of the Marines." [Reading:]

Interesting light on the future of the Marine Corps was given by Brig Gen. Frank Armstrong in an off-the-record talk before a group of naval officers at a dinner tendered by Norfolk, Va., businessmen.

General Armstrong, who is part Indian, and who had a fine record in training B-20 crews, really let his hair down regarding the future role of the Army Air Forces and the Marines, as follows:

"You gentlemen had better understand that the Army Air Force is tired of being a subordinate outfit, and is no longer going to be a subordinate outfit. It was a predominant force during the war. It is going to be a predominant force during the peace, and you might as well make up your minds, whether you like it or not that we do not care whether you like it or not: The Army Air Force is going to run the show. You, the Navy, are not going to have anything but a couple of carriers which are ineffective at yway, and they will probably be sunk in the first battle.

"Now, as for the Marines, you know what the Marines are. They are a small, fouled-up army talking navy lingo. We are going to 'put those Marines in the Regular Army and make efficient soldiers out of them. The Nivy is going to send up by only supplying the requirements of the Army, Air, and Ground Forces too. The Army is going to take over Norfolk. We are here

1

now, and we are going to stay. We know this is a Navy town and a Navy hang-out, but the Army Air is still going to s ay, and we are going to take over."

Senator Typings. There is a man who has no pride in his own

branch of the service.

The Chairman. I may say that the chairman of the committee remembers that article, an article by Drew Pearson, and I would like to ask General Norstad for the Army to ascertain if there was any record taken of that talk made by Brigadier General Armstrong, and if there was a record made of that talk which he gave, the committee would like to have it.

Senator Robertson. I would like to ask the same question of Admiral Sherman—if the Navy has any report on that meeting.

Senator Bridges. I would like to ask that General Armstrong be brought here as a witness.

The Chairman. The chairman will be glad to sit when any of the other members of the committee would like to sit to hear General Armstrong.

Senator Byrn. Mr. Chairman, inasmuch as that happened in Nor-

folk, I would like to second the motion.

The Симимам. You may proceed with your statement, Admiral.

Admiral Sherman. On January 20 work was started on drafting legislation in accord with the above agreement. Our task was to achieve a draft satisfactory to the War and Navy Departments and subsequently to assist in adjusting it satisfactorily to the other interested executive agencies of the Government.

The bill now before you is the product of that collaboration. It is in many respects a compromise of views initially divergent. I believe that it is an equitable compromise, one which will work, one which is in the interests of our national security, and one which will increase the effectiveness of our national defense. It is my belief that economies would eventually result from the proposed organization, although actual dollar savings may not be great except during a period of large procurement or large expansion.

To my mind, the greatest immediate benefits to be derived from the proposed legislation would be the unity of military concepts, the unity of purpose, and the unity of effort, which should result from the organization as proposed, and from putting an end to contention

and controversy over the unification question.

I want to repeat that the bill represents part—the agreement on functions is the other part—of an over-all accord achieved from divergent points of view. Although the areas of divergencies have been narrowed during recent months, any one section of the bill may still represent a concession by one service to another. It is not to be expected that the services will give unanimous support to each section

of the bill by itself. It has been long apparent that any national security organization should be so constituted and empowered as to fit harmoniously into the existing framework of our Government. Study of the principles under which our American institutions have been successful shows that there are two things which these institutions all have in common, whether they are institutions in the Government, in business, in industry, or in the professions.

First, the str instead of fo second, each mous in its mod exist over succe ters and are at t In keeping w and direct from but without de n ous units by structure of th An outstand was the Germ forces high co Vorld War II The German i which rigid ommander to It swept fun luilt its whole three elements s, instead of air force, and ulfill those f vithout the fr

To the arm ground weapo ver all seacr nonopoly ove

The Germa which in the ssentially hu the training were not reco strict sense, operation wa for instance, tion called fo archy of join extending to of men but w man High C

Regarding of staff, state

This solution the ranks of t for an author to adopt them

It is needl forces repre thoritarian

two or three

_ other prob-

tor Tydings' uted the first of the game

nt the umpire fr. President, situation. I tremendous

hink you had i rest a while,

I would ven-, and you go y of the Air Navy will be be the whole, ars. That is ember of this

to safeguard You have to President of f in time of

e Munitions

s morning, it

stadt Report f decision. I

ar savings on eat spending to important

dures such as a small arms, sost all of the

irman of the top figure of it would be with outside uty in time of

Development and Developar and Navy have given powers of decision to the present chairman since neither Secretary could make decisions between the services. Those powers of decision would now pass to the Secretary of National Defense.

Section 201 provides for a National Security Council, an agency long felt necessary, and one which was proposed in the Secretary's letter of May 31, 1946, under the name "Council of Common Defense." This is an agency which has existed in an informal and embryo form for a considerable period. During the period before the war and extending into the early part of the war, there was a so-called Liaison Committee which included the Under Secretary of State, the Chief of Staff of the Army, and the Chief of Naval Operations.

For over 2 years now the Secretaries of State, War, and Navy have been meeting informally each week as the so-called Committee of Three to coordinate action in matters of mutual concern. Recently the Secretary of State has assigned a permanent secretary to that

committee.

Current events indicate clearly the necessity for an organization on at least the Cabinet level to make decisions based on sound estimates as to the most effective manner in which our military and financial resources can be applied. In the future we may anticipate that, as during the past week, it will be necessary to consider the optimum use of the power, prestige, money, and other resources available to us for implementation of national policy.

for implementation of national policy.

The best results will be achieved only by continuous and exhaustive consideration of the problems involved, such consideration to be participated in by the heads of the State Department, the military departments, and one or more representatives of the branches of the

Government concerned with our national resources.

The Central Intelligence Group provided for by section 202 exists now. This section assigns to the National Security Council the supervisory authority and responsibility now given by Executive order to a National Intelligence Authority which includes the Secretaries of State, War, and Navy. It includes language which is permissive, but not mandatory, covering the possible assignment of a serving officer to the post of Director of Central Intelligence. It does not, however, bar the appointment of a civilian as Director.

bar the appointment of a civilian as Director.

I consider the Central Intelligence Agency to be a vital necessity under present world conditions. Its necessity will increase with our greater international responsibilities and as the power of sudden attack is amplified by further developments in long-range weapons

and in weapons of mass descruction.

Section 203 provides for the National Resources Board, an agency intended to coordinate military, industrial, and civilian mobilization and to maintain and stabilize the civilian economy in time of war.

It should be distinctly noted that while the Munitions Board, dealing with essentially military requirements, is responsible to the Secretary of National Defense, the Resources Board is responsible to the President in its broader field embracing the entire civilian

There must be both a Resources Board and a Munitions Board because two separate functions must be carried out in the field of national mobilization and logistics, which although interrelated, are on different levels—one on the political and national level, and the other on the strictly military level.

l Patton's march road was a steep ht the mountains ke any kind of a -p Sicilian mounssels if he wanted he made several ed that he had the h the Navy. 28 days, which I ere to be singled enthusiastic and

and the Solomon ent in those areas, erations to realize rogging in which to make possible , whereupon they bypassing some y triphibious typein miracles in the ir was commanded y as chief of staff, . of operations. ≤ey's headquarters rousers, and none as that the Army black tie, and he I do not suggest at I mention it to sey's great accom-

in an outfit which' nbone. There, as nbone. into naval officerst the Anzio beachconditions. artillery fire. I no, and like every plate necessity for

amphibious operaand saw with my sea, and air which lanes coming overon the beach at the on a proud feeling ntial thing was the ictory together for

t—and, Mr. Chairknow millions and millions of men in this war underwent-without coming to some pretty strong general conclusions about the way in which our national defense set-up should be established. I am not going into technicalities because I am not equipped to do that-

In fact, if there were any real differences of opinion between the officers of our Army and Navy who were charged with studying this omeers of our Army and Navy who were enalged with studying this matter, I would have declined your very contreous request to come here. I suppose I might have said something on the floor later on, and I would certainly have east my vote along with the rest of the Senators, but if these Army and Navy men had not agreed on this proposition, I would not be before this committee at all. The fact, however, that they have agreed on a proposition to unify the services and have done so only after great soul searching and great difficulty, and great struggle of mind, makes me feel very strongly that any man is bold, indeed, to be the instrument which breaches that agreement. I mean, of course, who breaches that agreement because of any military

Senator McCarrity. You speak of the Army and Navy agreement on this bill. I assume you are not referring to any agreement which would affect section 2 of this bill, are you, Senator, with respect to the power of the super Secretary especially over civilian life?

Senator Looge. I am referring to the military phases.

Senator McCarrier. Only to the military phases? Senator Lodge. I thought this whole bill had been agreed to by

the representatives of the Army and Navy.

Senator McCarray. I do not think you got my question. Forgetting about the strictly military phases of the bill, forgetting the phases of unification of the armed services and getting to those portions of the bill which have such additional newscape of the bill. tions of the bill which have such additional powers on the part of this super Secretary over civilian life, when we get to those sections, then you would not be influenced by the agreement between the Army and the Navy, would you?

Senator Lodge. No. I am influenced by an agreement between the Army and the Navy on everything that is of a military character. There are some things in this bill that are not of a purely military

character which transcend the uniformed services.

The national resources portion of this bill, which I think is a very good one, incidentally does transcend entirely the scope of the uni-

formed services. That is true.

The Charman. May I call your attention to the fact that title 2 of this bill covers the National Security Council, the Central Intelligence Agency, and the National Security Resources Board, those three agencies. Mainly, they are set up as planning divisions.

The National Security Council and the National Security Resources.

Property diseases to the Provident of the Control Table.

Board reports directly to the President. The Central Intelligence Agency reports to the President. They do not report directly to the new Secretary provided in the bill.

Senator Lodge. That is right. I think that insofar as the National

Security Council is concerned, that is largely a military question.

The CHARMAN. That is right.
Senator Lodge. I think the Central Intelligence Agency has a military aspect to it, but of course it also has a strong foreign policy aspect to it.

"我们是我们的现在分词 自己,这个世纪,我们就是我们的自己,这个时间却是我们的,他们也是

I CARRESTAND FOR TRAINING TO 100 13 CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF but the voice of the conscience of the Navy; that it not only reflects the opinion of the Reserve officers but likewise the opinions of a large proportion of their brother officers in the regular service. I respectfully submit that the announced official policy of the Navy Department

is not a true representation of the feeling of the officers within the

I urge this committee to delay immediate positive action on this bill and further I propose and urge the appointment of a commission of Reserve officers of the Army, the Navy, the Marine Corps, the Coast Guard, and the Air Corps to study the unification of the armed services, drawing upon the wartime experiences of their Reserve brother officers to assist them in this study and giving to this committee its recommendation based on that study. I offer the fullest cooperation The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Bracken, the committee thanks you very much for your testimony. There are a lot of good thoughts in it.

I want you to know that the committee is not concluding the hear-

ings as of today. There are quite a few more witnesses to be heard

·The committee has not closed the hearing of anyone whom they may

decide to invite here to give further testimony.

Are there any questions? Senator Wilson?

Senator Wilson. No.

The CHAIRMAN. Senator McCarthy?

Senator McCartery. I have just one question.

Senator McCarter. I have just one question.

Mr. Bracken, did your organization give any amount of study to the question of how much power this over-all head will have under the wording of this bill as it is presently worded?

Mr. Bracken. They have not given intensive study on that point; no. They feel intensive study should be given to that point.

Senator McCarthy. I gather from your statement, however, that you feel the language is so indefinite, it is rather impossible for any two fair-minded men presently to agree as to the power he does have.

Mr. Bracken. I do so feel; yes, sir. I think that the hearings before this committee have indicated the various witnesses differ in their opinion as to the authority of this man.

their opinion as to the authority of this man.

Senator McCarthy. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.
The Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Bracken.
I offer for the record a letter received by me as chairman of the committee from A. W. Dulles, of New York City, dated April 25, together with a memorandum from Mr. Dulles, referring particularly to section 202 of Senate bill 758, having to do with the organization of the Central Intelligence Agency. the Central Intelligence Agency.

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL, New York 5, April 25, 1947.

Hon. CHAN GUENEY,

Chairman, Committee on Armod Services, United States Senate, Washington, D. C.

DEAR SENATOR GURNEY. With recirence to previous correspondence relating to the Central Intelligence provisions of the services unification bill, I enclose a memorandum outlining my views on the subject in view of the fact that I amtering tomorrow for Europe, to be gone about 4 weeks. In the event that I return prior to the termination of your hearings and you then wish me to appear I result by very gold to do so. in person, I shall be very glad to do so.

However, in the encl clearly as I can the qu for in adequate Centra as I have also been i tures in the executive Hollman a copy of this fincerely yours,

MANGANDUM RES AGENCY) OF THE ELLEMENT, SUBJE

l'o create an esse has key positions viewed merely as a savices or other b be directed by a re for anonymity and must find their rev they render their (Imelligence wor in time of war. facilities, and cor compleyed in far gr inteligence with through civilian c

Because of its gla what is called secret serve means and by some means and by child ed importance force the overt channe military, naval, and a literal also be obtain many thousands of residents of foreign (with what is going to extra able by these cover 30 percent, I she of our national policeting ence which incl

elieve that the nalyzing intelligence and under civilian le of more civilan k

of over takes the

s if e work. If p

seraing a position

tas top staft. Wha

altitury or civilian,

organization they sh

altitury or airmen at

"e success of the

the dish onalities of ne high qualities of een on that particu fiderce, an esprit de

f the Reservo only reflects ns of a large I respect-/ Department is within the

-ction on this 💶 commission ps, the Coast armed servserve brother committee its cooperation :

ou very much it.

ing the hears to be heard

∎om they may

f study to the ave under the

n that point; oint. however, that sible for any he does have. hearings beses differ in

hairman.

irman of the April 25, toparticularly ganization of

přil 25, 1947.

ence relating to ill, I enclose a fact that I am e event that h me to appear

However, in the enclosed memorandum I have set forth as succinctly and as clearly as I can the quite definite views I have with regard to the requirements for an adequate Central Intelligence Agency.

As I have also been invited to appear before the House Committee on Expenditures in the executive departments, I are also seading Representative Clare Hoffman a copy of this memorandum.

Sincerely yours,

MEMORANDUM RESPECTING SECTION 202 (CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY) OF THE BILL TO PROVIDE FOR A NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTAB-LISHMENT, SUBMITTED BY ALLEN W. DULLES, APRIL 25, 1947

To create an effective Central Intelligence Agency we must have in the key positions men who are prepared to make this a life work, not a mere casual occupation. Service in the Agency should not be not a mere casual occupation. Service in the Agency should viewed merely as a stepping stone to promotion in one of the armed services or other branches of the Government. The Agency should services or other branches of the Government. The Agency should be directed by a relatively small but elite corps of men with a passion be directed by a relatively small but elite corps of men with a passion. They for anonymity and a willingness to stick at that particular job. must find their reward primarily in the work itself, and in the service they render their Government, rather than in public acclaim.

Intelligence work in time of peace differs fundamentally from that in time of war. In time of war military channels and military facilities, and consequently military personnel, can effectively be employed in far greater measure than in peacetime. In time of peace intelligence with respect to foreign countries must come largely

through civilian channels.

through civilian channels.

Because of its glamour and mystery overemphasis is generally placed on what is called secret intelligence, namely the intelligence that is obtained by secret means and by secret agents. During war this form of intelligence takes on added importance but in time of peace the bulk of intelligence can be obtained through overt channels, through our diplomatic and consular missions, and our through overt channels, through our diplomatic and consular missions, and our military, naval, and air attachés in the normal and proper course of their work. It can also be obtained through the world press, the radio, and through the It can also be obtained through the world press, who are naturally and normally brought in touch residents of foreign countries, who are naturally and normally brought in touch with what is going on in those countries. A proper analysis of the intelligence with what is going on in those countries. A proper analysis of the intelligence with what is going on in those countries. A proper analysis of the intelligence with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal, and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal and aboveboard means would supply us with obtainable by these overt, normal and normal policy. An important balance must be supplied by secret intelligence which includes what we now often refer to as "Magic."

I believe that the agency which is to be entrusted with assembling and analyzing intelligence should be predominantly civilian rather than military, and under civilian leadership.

Whoever takes the post of Director of Central Intelligence should make that his life work. If previously a military man, he should not look forward to resuming a position in one of the armed services. The same should be true of rhis top staff. Whatever may have been their previous professions, whether military or civilian, once they take high position in the central intelligence organization they should, if military, divest themselves of their rank as soldiers, sailors, or airmen and, as it were, "take the cloth" of the intelligence service. Soliors, or airmen and, as it were, "take the cloth" of the intelligence service sailors, or airmen and, as it were, "take the cloth" of the intelligence service has been on that particular job for a sufficient period of years to build up public confidence, an esprit de corps in his organization, and a high prestige. We should

6

13 M

75 **14**1

r/cjaffie)

seek the same results for our intelligence service which will operate in the foreign field, and on items of foreign or mation.

I do not suggest into the legislations and high which will operate in the rate the chief of the Intelligence Agency in the sound operate from that the chief of the Intelligence Agency in the sound operate from that time job. But if a military man takes the job, he should operate from that time job. But if a military man takes the job, he should operate from that time on as a civilian. Further, he must be assured, subject to good performance, a on as a civilian. Further, he must be assured, subject to good performance, a one as a civilian with the property of the sound of the property of the property of the sound of the property of

Under the legislation as proposed, the Central Intelligence Agency is to operate under the National Security Council, the stated purpose of which is "to advise the President with respect to the integration of foreign and military policies, and to enable the military services and other agencies of the Government to cooper to enable the military services and other agencies of the Government to cooper to enable the military services and possibly mational security." This Council will ate more effectively in matters involving national security. This Council will have at least six members, and possibly more, subject to Presidential appointment. From its composition it will be largely military, although the Secretary

ate will be a me to in effective worki n. visury attention n. t the Chief of Co and, of which the ? is lade the Secretar, will the right reser continuous the right reserve appresented on the consistent Secretary exhibiting for adverse proper liaison E a cutive.

differential distribution of the street, even the Del ie igence can only neats with the D ab ond will come t th and will come to the proposed in sice of the militar Department. This tablishment. partment. T untion.

untion.
The State Dept the State Agency is control of the state of the ceternined a polyower the policy. rove the policy othing to do winust determine attack on Pearl buded that the convertely came eportedly came litler thought provide any systubbornness. we have created the policy make

Any Central gence collected gained through results of its of the most compethe intelligence Government de the Department

the Departmen
It is imports
this work thro
both the State relations are of Department desirable, for 1 be delegated the work in th would mean the Intelligence A

i operate in the

rd and fast rule ient to hold that from that time performance, a ing as he carried elligence should t, and should be i in high degree. of long experiwheat from the

s office. as from civilian perform useful

ill require other ves. The prime as these may be. , supersonic aironly in the rela-in the internal America. For sian communism would be to have

-ncy, I am skep-To provide for a ... These provi-√ a coordinating s is useful, and -ugh.

ce services has vices are a part But this prece-Agency. There Agency. There yet the Central will have had now have been competent men. oths. Constant ng which must

erm tenure for that his chief is necessary to is. The Chief e reasons. He Ion should prot in a military

ly is to operate It is "to advise ry policies, and nent to coopers Council will ential appointthe Secretary

of State will be a member. If preceden is any guide, it seems unlikely, in view of the burden of work upon all the members of this Conneil, that it will prove to be an effective working body which will neet frequently, or which could give much supervisory attention to a retral intelligence agency. It would seem preferrible that the Chief of Central Intelligence should report, as at present, to a smaller body, of which the Secretary of State would be the chairman, and which would include the Secretary of National Defense, and a representative of the President, with the right reserved to the Secretaries of State and of National Defense to be represented on this small bond is deputes, who should have at least the rank of Assistant Secretary. And this board must really meet and assume the responsibility for advising and courseling the Director of Intelligence, and assure the proper liaison between the Agency and those two Departments and the Executive.

In time of peace intelligence will probably be of more importance in the day-by-day operations of the Department of State-tom any other agency of the Government, even the Department of Nat.onal Defense. Further, in time of peace, intelligence can only be properly collected if there are the closest working arrangements with the Department of State, as the bulk of the intelligence collected abroad will come through the facilities of that Department.

The proposed intelligence set-up in the draft legislation is overweighted on the side of the milliory department of the Government, as contrasted with the State-Department. This is natural because it appears in a bill for our National Defense-Establishment. This fact, however, should not blind us to the realities of the situation.

Department. This fact, however, should not blind us to the realities of the situation.

The State Department, irrespective of the form in which the Central Intelligence Agency is cast, will collect and process its own information as a basis for the day-by-day conduct of its work. The armed services intelligence agencies will do likewise. But for the proper judying of the situation in any foreign country it is important that inforation should be processed by an agency whose duty it is to weigh facts, and to draw conclusions from those facts, without having either the facts or the conclusions warped by the inevitable and even proper prejudices of the men whose duty it is to determine policy and who, having once determined a policy, are too likely to be blind to any facts which might tend to prove the policy to be faulty. The Central Intelligence Agency should have nothing to do with policy. It should try to get at the hard facts on which others must determine policy. The warnings which might well have pointed to the attack on Pearl Harbor were largely discounted by those who had already concluded that the Japanese must inevitably strike elsewhere. The warnings which reportedly came to Hitler of our invasion of North Africa were laughed aside. Hitler thought he knew we didn't have the ships to do it. It is impossible to provide any system which will be proof against the human fraity of intellectual stubbornness. Every individual suffers from that. All we can do is to see that we have created the best possible mechanism to get the unvarnished facts before the policy makers, and to get it there in time.

Any Central Intelligence Agency (in addition to having access to the intelligence collected by the State Department and the armed services, to intelligence gained through intercepted messages, open and deciphered alike, and from the results of its own secret and over intelligence operations) must have a corps of the most competent men which this country can produce to evaluate and correlate intelligence obtained, and to present it, in proper form, to the interested Government departments, in most cases to the State Department, in many cases to the Department of National Defense, or to both.

It is important to avoid splitting up and dissipating the personnel available for this work through having over-all specialized intelligence valuating agencies in both the State Department and the Central Intelligence Agency. If close working relations are established between the Central Intelligence Agency and the State Department—as is essential if the Agency is to function properly—it would seem desirable, for reasons of conomy and eliclency, that the task of evaluation should be delegated to the Central Intelligence Agency without, of course, affecting the work in the geographical and other clivisions of the State Department. This would mean that the specialized intelligence agency within the State Department should be coordinated with, or amalgamented into, the branch of the Central Intelligence Agency devoted to the analysis and evaluation of intelligence.

In addition to these basic considerations, the Central Intelligence Agency should have the following powers and attributes:

1. Control its own personnel but with the right to co-opt personnel from other departments of the Government, with the consent of the head of the department in question but without affecting the rank, civil-service status, or pay of the employee assigned for temporary duty.

2. Have its own appropriations but with the possibility of supplementing these appropriations from available funds of the Department of State or the Department of National Defense under conditions to be provided by law, in order to carry on certain special operations which may, from time to time, be deemed necessary by the President, the Secretary of State, or the Secretary of National Defense.

3. Have exclusive jurisdiction to carry out secret intelligence operations.

4. Have access to all intelligence information relating to foreign countries received by all departments of the Government, including "Magic."

5. Be the recognized agency for dealing with the central intelligence agencies of other countries.

other countries.

6. Have its operations and personnel projected by "official secrets" legislation which would provide adequate penaltics for oreach of security.

It has truthfully been said that intelligence is our first line of defense. The European countries more immediately exposed to danger in the past have realized this, and have spared no pains to develop adequate intelligence services. Among them the British have had signal success, and this success, in no small part, has been responsible for pulling them through periods of the direst danger. The British system has behind it a long history of quick effective performance, based on a highly trained personnel with years of service and great technical ability. In this country we have the raw material for building the greatest intelligence service in the world. But to accomplish this we must make it a respectable, continuing, and adequately remunerated circer. The personnel need not be very numerous. The operation of the service must be neither thamboyant nor overshrouded with the mystery and abracadabra which the anatour detective likes to assume. With the proper legislative backing, a correct technical set-up, and adequate leadership, all that is required for success is hard work, discriminating judgment, and common sense. Americans can be found who are not lacking in these qualand common sense. Americans can be found who are not lacking in these qual-

The Chairman. I also offer for the record a letter received by me as schairman of the committee from Francis M. Sullivan, of the Disabled American Veterans, dated April 23, 1947, together with the attached resolution.

DISABLED AMERICAN VETERANS, April 23, 1947.

Hon. CHAN GURNEY,
Chairman of Senate Committee on Armed Services,
United States Senate, Washington, D. O.

DEAR SENATOR GURNEY: I am taking the liberty of forwarding to you a copy of a resolution adopted by the Disabled American Veterans at our last national convention held in September 1946 at Portland, Oreg., which urges the coordination and unification of our armed services.

I shall appreciate it if this is incorporated into the record of the hearings on the proposed unification of the armed services.
Thanking you, I am,

Very sincerely yours,

FRANCIS M. SULLIVAN, National Director of Legislation.

RESOLUTION

Whereas the experience of World War II demonstrated that combined operations under unified command contributed greatly to the effectiveness of American and Allied armed forces in all theaters; and

Whereas in consec United States will a single item, the effect therefore, be it Resolved by the T

Veterans in session the interest of natio under a single Depa Air Forces would be Resolved. That the single civilian secret

a Secretary for the Porces responsible to Resolved, That a President, to coordin

security, and to cor State, the Secretario a National Resource coolved, That usices be completely budgeting, and admi

effectiveness as a te and unnecessary ex Resolved, That ev by the Eightieth Co

The CHARMAI sibly tomorrow o call of the chairr

sonnel from other of the department us, or pay of the

pplementing these de or the Depart-, in order to carry deemed necessary operations.

foreign countries igence agencies of

crets" legislation

of defense. The ast have realized ervices. Among o small part, has inger. The Britance, based on a nical ability. In intelligence servectable, continut be very numer-or overshrouded likes to assume.

o, and adequate
ating judgment,
in these qual-

ived by me as the Disabled the attached

1pril 23, 1947.-

o you a copy of st national conhe coordination

he hearings on

Legislation.

mbined operas of American Whereas in consequence of condined operations under unified command the United States will necessarity function in a single global theater of war as a single item, the effectiveness of which will depend on unity of command: Now, therefore, be it

single item, the effectiveness of which will depend on unity of command: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Twenty-Ath National Convention of the Disabled American Veterans in session at Portland, Orey, this 5th day of September 1946, That in the interest of national security Congress be urged to unify the amed services under a single Department of Common Defense, in which the Army, Navy, and Air Forces would be coequal;

Resolved, That the unified Department of Common Defense he headed by a single civilian secretary who would be a member of the President's Cabinet, with a Secretary for the Army, a Secretary for the Navy, and a Secretary for the Air Forces responsible to him:

Resolved, That a Council of Common Defense he created, responsible to the President, to coordinate all military and related activities essential to the national security, and to consist of the Secretary of Common Defense, the Secretary of State, the Secretaries for the Army, Navy, and Air Forces, and the Chairman of a National Resources Doard;

Resolved, That under the Secretary of Common Defense the three armed services be completely coordinated for planning, operations, research, intelligence, budgeting, and administration, including personnel and supply, both for maximum effectiveness as a team and to prevent waste, duplication, overlapping of function, and unnecessary expenditure for the national security; and

Resolved, That every effort be made to obtain the enactment of a unification bill by the Eightieth Congress in conformity with this resolution.

The Chairman. The committee will now stand in recess until pos-

The CHARMAN. The committee will now stand in recess until possibly tomorrow or Friday at the call of the Chair.

Thereupon, at 11:50 a.m., the committee adjourned subject to the call of the chairman.)

2-11至约赛时间5世

100 ST

Colonel OLIVER. No. We are rereased by particularly concerned with section 202, which has to do with the Central Intelligence Agency, as a result of a study made by members of the Reserve Officers Association, who were intelligence officers during the war.

Senator Saltonstall. Then you are confining your remarks to the

Central Intelligence Agency?
Colonel OLIVER. That is correct.

Senator Saltonstall. And these remarks you have made about a single department or a merger are perhaps incidental to your suggestion on this other section?

Colonal Overs The correct.

Colonel Oliver. That is correct, Senator. Senator Saltonstall. So that we should not put much weight on

Colonel OLIVER. That is correct. However, I will be very happy to supply the committee with a copy of the resolution.

Senator Robertson. Thank you.

(The resolutions referred to are as follows:)

RESOLUTION No. 3, PASSED AT THE TWENTIETH ANNUAL CONVENTION RESERVE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES, CHICAGO, ILL., JUNE 3, 1946

UNIFICATION OF THE ARMED PORCES OF THE UNITED STATES

Whereas the President of the United States has heretofore recommended to Congress integration of the armed forces of the United States to include Army, Navy, and Air Forces under a single department of national defense, and Whereas various departments have not been able to agree on a plan implementing such recommendation, and Whereas it is the unanimous recommendation of the departments of the Reserve Officers Association of the United States that such a single department will effect unity of command, simplify personnel requirements, enhance consolidation of intelligence agencies, facilitate combined operations, economize supply procurement, and realize the maximum employment of all potentials to ensure national security: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved by the Reserve Officers Association of the United States in convention assembled, That we endorse wholeheartedly the principles of unification of the armed forces of the United States with coequal status of our Army, Navy, and Air Corps under a single department of national defense; and

That a copy of this resolution be sent to each Member of the House of Representatives and Senate of the United States.

RESOLUTION OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL MEETING, RESERVE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES, WASHINGTON, D. C., NOVEMBER 23, 1946

Resolved, That the principle of the unification of all the armed forces into a single department of the armed forces with separate status for land, sea, and air forces is approved.

Senator Robertson of Wyoming. Mr. Riddell, we will be glad to

STATEMENT OF COL. RICHARD J. RIDDELL, RESERVE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Colonel RIDDELL. Gentlemen, the Reserve Officers Association feels. that the backbone of our intelligence system should continue to be the intelligence agencies already existing in the various Government departments, particularly the State, War, and Navy Departments.

Over a period a facilities to co ing of each, well over 90 perc security is curre

mental intelliger The problem (intelligence systematics of that each collecintelligence while form this coordi of common conc a central intell; ommend that the денсе Аденсу а Security Act of entirety of section loving principle We feel that t

istratively depen any interdeparts be established d his Executive of We believe inc

ligence to and ondarily such of

direct. However, we: administratively in section 202 (of national intel policy advisers. their administra

Such control estimates to sup This would tend product.

We feel that tion to the Nati for intelligence (1-2 supplies in to what intellige the commandin therefore, that under the Pres al hough it shou

latter. We further f be appointed by tratively respon Director of Ce ncerned with Agency, as a Association,

narks to the

ade about a o your sug-

h weight ón

ery happy to

TION RESERVE UNE 3, 1946

ES

commended to include Army, and an implement-

of the Reserve ient will effect insolidation of apply procureusure national

in convention dication of the ay, Navy, and

use of Repre-

Association

forces into a l. sea, and air

be glad to

OFFICERS

intion feels ue to be the lovernment epartments.

.

Over a period of years, these departments have developed staffs and facilities to collect and evaluate the intelligence required for the making of each department's policies and decisions. It is estimated that well over 90 percent of the foreign intelligence related to the national security is currently being collected and evaluated by these departmental intelligence agencies.

The publishment of assuring the development of an effective national

The problem of assuring the development of an effective national intelligence system therefore becomes one primarily of coordinating the activities of these departmental intelligence agencies to insure that each collects, evaluates, and disseminates that share of national intelligence which is the responsibility of that department. To perform this coordinating function, as well as to perform certain services of common concerns which are more efficiently accomplished centrally, a central intelligence agency is required. However, we strongly recommend that the proposed provisions governing the Central Intelligence Agency as contained in section 202 of the proposed National Security Act of 1947, should be fundamentally changed and that the entirety of section 202 should be redrafted, bearing in mind the fol-

lowing principles.

Viscel that the Central Intelligence Agency should not be administrately dependent on any department of the Government or on any interdepartmental authority or council, but rather that it should be established directly under the President, possibly as a portion of

his Executive office.

We believe indeed that its prime mission should be to supply intelligence to and thus serve the National Security Council, and secondarily such other departments and agencies as the President may

However, we feel that to establish the Central Intelligence Agency administratively under the National Security Council, as proposed in section 202 (a), would be tantamount to placing the production of national intelligence under the control of the President's national policy advisers. National intelligence should be designed to meet the needs of the national policy advisers, while not being subject to the needs of the national policy advisers, while not being subject to

their administrative control.

Such control would leave the door open to slanting intelligence estimates to support preconceived national policy recommendations. This would tend to destroy the objectivity of the national intelligence.

product.

We feel that the Central Intelligence Agency bears the same relation to the National Security Council as a military G-2 staff section for intelligence bears to a military G-3 staff section for operations. G-2 supplies intelligence to G-3 and receives direction from G-3 as to what intelligence estimates are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence estimates are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence estimates are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are required, but G-2 takes orders from to what intelligence are

We further feel that the Director of Central Intelligence should be appointed by the President, confirmed by the Senate, and administratively responsible only to the President. We feel strongly that the Director of Central Intelligence should be a civilian, because he.

should represent all aspects of the Government, not merely those concerned with the military, naval, or air elements of our armed forces.

It seems quite in keeping with the American way of life and with the traditional American principle of requiring even the Secretaries of War and Navy to be civilians.

Concerning section 202 (b), we therefore feel that, should a member of the armed forces be elected to become Director of Central Intelligence, he should be required to serve as a civilian. We agree with the proposed annual salary of \$14,000 for the Director of Central Intelligence.

We believe that a board should be established to advise the Director of Central Intelligence. This Central Intelligence Advisory Board should consist of the heads of the intelligence agencies of the Departments of State, the Army, the Navy, and the Air Forces, and of other departmental intelligence agencies as needed. Similar interdepartmental groups of specialists from these agencies should be established as needed at working levels within the framework of the Central Intelligence Agency to formulate plans, programs, and procedures on each phase of national intelligence activity and to collaborate on specific intelligence problems.

specific intelligence problems.

We believe that the Central Intelligence Agency should have its own budget, included as a part of the Executive Office budget, and classified confidential or higher. The Director of Central Intelligence should have complete control over its personnel, who should be a select group of professionally trained specialists. Such salaries and conditions of service should be later prescribed in enabling legislation as will attract to this agency the best qualified personnel.

What is more important, in view of the confusion which has apparently surrounded the activities of the Central Intelligence group and its relationship to other Government intelligence agencies during the past 14 months, we further believe that the responsibilities of the Central Intelligence Agency should be carefully delineated and elaborated so as to prescribe for it the following functions:

(a) To coordinate the intelligence activities of all Government agencies to insure that there is maximum effective coverage of all pertinent subjects concerning foreign countries. For this purpose—

(1) The Ceneral Intelligence Agency shall work out with the intelligence agencies concerned the proper responsibilities of each in the fields of collection and research in order to insure the maximum concentration of effort by each agency on subjects of primary concern to its department, to reduce to a minimum the duplication of effort between agencies and to prevent any omission in coverage of essential subjects.

(2) The Central Intelligence Agency shall establish procedures to insure the free flow of intelligence from each agency to all other interested agencies

ested agencies.

(b) To perform such services of common concern to other intelligence agencies as can best be performed centrally or which are not a proper activity of any one agency, including the sole operation of an organization to collect such information anywhere abroad as can be collected only by special means, and to conduct counterintelligence activities outside the United States.

(c) To prepar policy decision exclusive compa

(1) In the printelligence Age interested depar Senator McCathat the bill sets games Agency, or it forth?

Colonel Rippi be changed, sir, : Senator McCo that the bill set Intelligence Age Colonel Rippi

(2) In the p Intelligence Age from the estimagence agencies. (3) Since the

(3) Since the sonnel, the Cen small but highly

We further I charged with a appropriate age security, but the counterintellige

Regarding se records, and un should be trans the functions of fined as above.

fined as above.
Senator SALT
questions which
You say that
President, and
be in this set-ur.

While you had provisions again advisory gramarizes their drecommendation appropriate or

nopropriate or Now, the Pro Council is mer it, the Central group, or is a which to make

Therefore, I gence Agency, ment, if that i disagree with

erely those conarmed forces. ife and with the -e Secretaries of

should a memof Central In-We agree with ctor of Central

ise the Director 'dvisory Board of the Departes, and of other ar interdepartiould be estabork of the Cenand procedures collaborate on

≾hould have its ice budget, and ral Intelligence rould be a select. rries and condig legislation as

· which has ap elligence group isibilities of the eated and elabo-

vernment agenof all pertinent

with the intelliof each in the = maximum connary concern to cation of effort age of essential

-h procedures to , all other inter-

to other intelliwhich are not ole operation of ibroad as can be nterintelligence

(a) To prepare such estimates as are required as a basis for national policy decisions of a politico military maure and transcending the exclusive competence of any particular department.

1) In the preparation of these strategic estimates the Central Intelligence Agency shall make full use of estimates prepared by the

interested departmental intell gence agencies.

Senator McCarthy. Do I understand that you are recommending that the bill sets forth the detailed functions of the Central Intelligence Agency, or do I correctly understand you that the bill is to set it forth?

Colonel RIDDELL We believe that the entire context of 202 should

be changed, sir, according to the principles outlined in this paper.
Senator McCarthy. Do I understand that you are recommending that the bill set forth the specific detailed functions of the Central Intelligence Agency? Is that your recommendation?
Colonel RIDDELL Yes, sir.

(2) In the presentation of these strategic estimates the Central Intelligence Agency shall indicate any differences in evaluation therein from the estimates prepared by the contributing departmental intelligence agencies.

(3) Since these are based on full use of departmental research personnel, the Central Intelligence Agency need have only a relatively

small but highly qualified research staff of its own.

We further feel that the Central Intelligence Agency should be charged with advising and supplying pertinent information to the appropriate agencies charged with the responsibility for the domestic security, but that it should have no police functions and no domestic counterintelligence functions.

Regarding section 202 (c), we believe that the personnel, property records, and unexpended funds of the Central Intelligence Group should be transferred to the Central Intelligence Agency, but that the functions of the Central Intelligence Agency should be newly de-

Senator Saltonstall. Colonel, I would like to ask about one or two questions which seem to me very fundamental on what you have said.

You say that this agency should be the G-2, so to speak, of the

President, and should not be under the G-3, which you interpret to be in this set-up the National Security Council.

While you have been discussing this subject, I have read hastily the provisions again of the National Security Council. That is purely an advisory group. The Council itself under clause (c) which summarizes their duties, "The Council shall, from time to time, make such recommendations and such other reports to the President as it deems appropriate or as the President may require."

Now, the President is the operating head.

This National Security

Council is merely an advisory group to the President, and as I see it, the Central Intelligence Agency as here set up is an advisory group, or is a method by which they can obtain their knowledge on which to make recommendations to the President.

Therefore, I would say that they should have a Central Intelligence Agency, rather than these diversified agencies in each department, if that theory is correct. Do you agree with that, or do you disagree with it?

NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT

Colonel Riddell. I disagree in one important respect, sir, in line with my statement. The duty of the Council, as outlined on page 19, is to assess and appraise the objectives, commitments, and risks of the United States in relation to our actual potential military power, in the interest of national security, for the purpose of making recommendations to the President in connection therewith.

I would like to repeat, sir, that the National Security Council, it occurs to me, will have to depend almost entirely for any assessment leading to a determination of national policy on the Central Intelli-

gence Agency

Senator McCarrity. Putting it another way, would they not have to depend almost entirely upon your Joint Chiefs of Staff?

Senator Salzonstall. Let me ask just one more question.

Senator Saltonstall. Let me ask just one more question. How do you, with your interpretation of this act, particularly with relation to section 202, which is the section you discuss, correlate your remarks with the first witness who said that the Reserve officers were in favor of a single department. If you are going to have a single department, why have three or four separate intelligence agencies?

Colonel Riddell. That is preciesly what we wish to do away with, sir. We think that the Central Intelligence Agency—you understand, Separator that the intelligence agencies now operating within the frame-

Senator, that the intelligence agencies now operating within the framework of our Government are in reality departmental intelligence agencies. We are thinking of this thing in terms of national policy, as opposed to foreign policy, as opposed to military policy or naval policy, economic policy, or any other policy.

We feel that national policy decisions must take into account the production of all of the departments name of values are able on their

production of all of the departments none of whom are able on their own to arrive at any determination of national policy.

Whether that answers your question or not, I do not know. We propose to utilize the existing facilities to the tune of about 90 percent. Senator ROBERTSON of Wyoming. Are you through?

Senator Saltonstall. I have no further questions.

Senator ROBERTSON of Wyoming. Senator McCarthy.
Senator McCarthy. I am not sure that I understood your proposal. I assume that we agree that the success or failure of your military is largely dependent upon a good efficient intelligence department, is

Colonel RIDDELL. Sir, I think when you say military it is using it in

a very narrow sense.

Senator McCarthy. Let us use it in that sense. Let us narrow it down to the military during times of war.

Colonel RIDDELL. I do not quite get the meaning of your question,

Senator McCarthy. An efficient intelligence unit is of tremendous importance, of course, to the military? Colonel RIDDELL. Yes.

Senator McCarrny. Is it your thought that the intelligence unit should be in no way directly responsible to your military heads?
Colonel Ridge. Exactly, sir.
Senator McCarthy. And I did not following your reasoning there.

am very interested in getting it.
Colonel RIDDELL We feel that the Central Intelligence Agency should be an agency responsible to the President, who is the Commander in Chief of the Arm in time of war and in Now, the product the product of Arn ments of Governme Senator McCarti will be of importanc the Navy, the Secre Defense, right?

Colonel RIDDELL Senator McCARTI reasoning at all. is composed of the Secretary of the Na Air Force. Those exclusively concern

Colonel Riddilli. means.

Senator McCAR follow that your Co that group

Colonel RIDDELL parallel echelon.

Senator McCan have over the Cent Colonel RIDDELL Senator McCar Senator Robert Our time is limi

day morning.
The CHARMAN. a letter dated Mar the United States

Hon. CHAN GURNEY, Jairman, Com

THAR SENATOR GU following our testim 1947, a confrence w the Director of Cent the Director of Cent
cesoar, concerning se
Admiral Hillenko
conference the follo
Director of Central
of the Office of Navr
The following to
Officers Association
Neuland, of the Mill
deapter of the Depa
Chiford, of the Field
mentioned intellige
mesals concerning 1 posals concerning t Reserve Officers As The recommend discussed at length

pect, sir, in line fined on page 19, its, and risks of military power, if making recom-

urity Council, it any assessment Central Intelli-

they not have to aff?

estion. particularly with ∹s, correlate your rve officers were to have a single ence agencies? o do away with, you understand,

vithin the frame-ntal intelligence ational policy, as policy or naval

into account the are able on their

not know. We about 90 percent.

 d your proposal. of your military e department, is

y it is using it in

Let us narrow it

of your question,

s of tremendous

intelligence unit -y heads?

reasoning thére.

Iligence Agency the Commander in Chief of the Army and the Navy, responsible only to the President

in time of war and in time of peace.

Now, the product of the Central Intelligence Agency is necessarily

the product of Army, Navy, State, Commerce, of all of the departments of Government, and not just the military.

Senator McCarriy. The product of the Central Intelligence Agency will be of importance mainly to the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of War, and the Secretary of the National Defense, right?

Colonel Riddell. Right.
Senator McCarrity. Well, with that in mind, I do not follow your reasoning at all. You understand that the National Security Council is composed of the Secretary of State, Secretary of National Defense, Secretary of the Navy, Secretary of the Army, and Secretary of the Air Force. Those are the departments that are vitally and almost exclusively concerned with intelligence. Am I right?
Colonel RIDDELL. That is not quite right, sir; not exclusively by any

Senator McCarriny. I say almost exclusively. Then does it not follow that your Central Intelligence Agency should be working under that group'

Colonel RIDDELL. We do not feel so, sir; we feel it should be on a parallel echelon.

Senator McCarrier. What control do you think the JCS should

have over the Central Intelligence Agency? Colonel Riddell. None whatsoever.

Senator McCartur. No further questions, Mr. Chairman. Senator Robertson of Wyoming. We appreciate your coming here. Our time is limited, and the committee will be in recess until Tues-

day morning. The CHARMAN. I wish to have inserted in the record at this point a letter dated May 12, 1947, from the Reserve Officers Association of the United States:

RESERVE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES,
Washington 8, D. C., May 12, 1947.

Hon. CHAN GURNEY,

Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, United States Senate, Washington, D. C.

United States Senate, Washington, B. C.

Dear Senator Gurney: At the suggestion of Senator Leverett Saltonstall, following our testimony before the Senate Armed Services Committee on May 2, 1947, a confrence was held the same day with Rear Adm, R. H. Hillenkoetter, the Director of Central Intelligence, and Lt. Gen. Loyt S. Vandenberg, his predecesor, concerning section 202 of the proposed National Security Act of 1947. Admiral Hillenkoetter and General Vandenberg brought with them to this conference the following two officers: Brig. Gen. B. K. Wright, who is Deputy Director of Central Intelligence; and Capt. R. K. Davis, United States Navy, of the Office of Naval Intelligence.

Director of Central Intelligence; and Capt. R. K. Davis, United States Navy, of the Office of Naval Intelligence.

The following two Reserve officers foined me in representing the Reserve Officers Association of the United States at this conference: Lt. Col. Paul A. Neuland, of the Military Intelligence Reserve, who is president of the Intelligence chapter of the Department of the District of Columbia, ROA; and Lt. Col. Robert L. Clifford, of the Field Artillery Reserve, who, like myself, is a member of the abovementioned intelligence chapter. Incidentally, this chapter initiated the proposals concerning national intelligence which are now supported by the entire Reserve Officers Association.

The recommendations submitted by the Reserve Officers Association were

The recommendations submitted by the Reserve Officers Association were sensed at length during this conference. The basic principles which should discussed at length during this conference.

88221-47

"高多數

C. Britan

govern the organization and conduct of national intelligence were thoroughly aired. The discussion was very fruitful and profitable in achieving greater clarity as to the means believed necessary to reach the objective for which both groups present at the discussion are working, namely, the achievement of greater national security through the development of adequate and effective national intelligence facilities.

It was found that both groups see eye to eye on the important principles which are basic to the future conduct of national intelligence in this country. Both groups agree that legislative support for the Central Intelligence Agency is urgently necessary, so much so that a separate bill providing for it should immediately be passed by this Congress if serious delay is anticipated in the passage of the proposed National Security Act of 1947 in its entirety. The Reserve Officers Association feels strongly that delay in giving statutory authority for the Central Intelligence Agency not only will prolong the carrent impasse in recruiting intelligence specialists, who are reluctant to embrace this career unless appropriate legislation guarantees them an attractive future, but will also occasion serious dangers to our national security because of failure to provide more than a temporary and ephemeral authority for such an essential guardian thereof.

As a result of the above-mentioned conference and of a second discussion which

thereof.

As a result of the above-mentioned conference and of a second discussion which Colonel Neularid and I were privileged to have with the Director of Central Intelligence and his deputy yesterday morning, we herewith submit this letter and the enclosed document as a supplement to the testimony, given by me before the Senate Armed Services Committee on behalf of the Reserve Officers Association of the United States, on May 2, 1047. The enclosed document is a proposed redraft of section 202 of 8, 758, the bill under discussion. It embodies the ideas which, after our two discussions with the Director of Central Intelligence and his associates, are felt by the Reserve Officers Association of the United States to be of such fundamental importance and so absolutely essential that they should not be omitted from the basic legislation on this subject.

Certain proposals concerning the Central Intelligence Agency as presented in our initial testimony will be found omitted from the enclosed redraft. These original proposals are neither withdrawn nor retracted. It is intended that they be brought up again when enabling legislation is under consideration, after the basic legislation on the Central Intelligence Agency has been canacted. Adultral Hillenkoetter has been kind enough to invite us, as representatives of the Reserve Officers Association, to meet again with him and his associates after basic legislation shall have been enacted, to assist in drafting proposals for such enabling legislation.

legislation.

Sincerely yours,

RICHARD J. RIDDELL, Lieutenant Colonel, MI-Reserve.

PROPOSED REDRAFT OF SECTION 202 OF S. 758

(a) There is hereby established a Central Intelligence Agency with a Director of Central Intelligence, who shall be the head thereof. The Director of Central Intelligence shall be appointed by the President by and with the consent of the Senate. Should a member of the United States Army, Navy, or Air Force be selected to become the Director of Central Intelligence, he shall serve as a civillan, but this appointment shall not deprive him of any right, benefit, or privilege to which he may be entitled under existing law. The Director of Central Intelligence shall receive compensation at the rate of \$14,000 a year.

(b) The Central Intelligence Agency shall not be under the control of any department of the Federal Government or of any interdepartmental authority or council. The Director of Central Intelligence shall be advised by a board composed of the heads of the intelligence agencies of the Departments of State, the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, and of other departmental intelligence agencies as needed, but he shall be responsible to the President above.

(c) Subject to the authority and direction of the President, it shall be the duty of the Central Intelligence Agency:

(1) To coordinate the Intelligence activities of all Federal Government agencies in the fields of collection, evaluation, and dissemination, in order not only to insure

(1) To coordinate the intelligence activities of all recernit Government agencies in the fields of collection, evaluation, and dissemination, in order not only to insure the maximum concentration of effort by each intelligence agency on subjects of primary concern to its department, but also to return to a minimum the duplication of effort between intelligence agencies and the prevent any omission in their intelligence coverage of essential subjects concerning foreign countries;

(2) To perform for ment such services of which are not the purpose (3) To conduct com (4) To prepare over President, the Congre

mental agencies, in or politico-military natur department, making f departmental intellige

(5) To perform soci (d) The existing in al Government—sur eral Governmentthe Air Force—shall bilities for the collec-gence required for the Each of these existin: national intelligence : in the production of si ance with the provision act that the exist to be the backbone coordination of the German In

mation to the appropriate of the

and their functions (2) The personnel Intelligence Authorit to the Central Intelli (3) The functions

Intelligence Group s vided that there be pending the full deli in future enabling h

-(Thereupon, at day, May 6, 1947 为。乌鲁·**阿拉斯河** 15克·西克斯克

oroughly greater lich both national

es which y. Both gency is i in the mhority impasse is career · provide -,ilardian

on which Central ais letter ae before Associahe ideas d States int they

hat they Admiral Reserve sic legisenabling

scrve.

Central . t of the -civilian, elligence of any

Director

ority or ate, the ze agen-

he duty

gencies o insure jects of ⊇ dupli. in their

(2) To perform for the benefit of an interference agencies of the Federal Govment such services of common concern a can be be performed centrally or which are not the proper activity of any one interface activity.

(3) To conduct counterintelligence activities of activity of any one interface activity.

(4) To prepare over-all strategic modificance estimates as required by the President, the Congress, the National Security Council, or other interdepartmental agencies, in order to provide the basis for national policy decisions of a politico-military nature which transcend the exclusive competence of any one department, making full use therein of estimates prepared by the appropriate departmental intelligence agencies;

(5) To perform such other duties as the President may direct.

(d) The existing intelligence agencies of the several departments of the Federal Government—such as the Departments of State, the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force—shall continue to have their departmental intelligence responsibilities for the collection, evaluation, and dissemination of the foreign intelligence required for the operating needs of their own and of other departments. Each of these existing departmental intelligence agencies shall also have certain national intelligence responsibilities for assisting the Central Intelligence Agency in the production of strategic and national policy intelligence estimates, in accordance with the provisions of subparagraph (c) (4) above. It is the intent of this act that the existing departmental intelligence agencies shall thus continue to be the backbone of the national intelligence agencies shall thus continue to be the backbone of the national intelligence agencies shall thus continue to be the backbone of the national intelligence agencies shall have not the managerial coordination of the Central Intelligence Agency.

(c) The Central Intelligence Agency shall advise and supply perfinent information to the appropriate agencies charged with the responsibility for domestic security, but

(f) Effective when the Director first appointed under subsection (a) has taken

office—

(1) The National Intelligence Authority and the Central Intelligence Group and their functions shall cease to exist;

(2) The personnel, property, records, and unexpended funds of the National Intelligence Authority and of the Central Intelligence Group shall be transferred to the Central Intelligence Agency;

(3) The functions of the National Intelligence Authority and of the Central Intelligence Group shall be transferred to the Central Intelligence Agency, provided that there be no conflict with the provisions of subparagraph (c) above, pending the full delineation of the functions of the Central Intelligence Agency in future enabling legislation. in future enabling legislation.

(Thereupon, at 12 noon, the committee recessed, to reconvene Tuesday, May 6, 1947.)

117

7.75.

some part of the bill which would safeguard the Marine Corps and

Senator MAYBANK. Did you see the amendments that were suggested for the Marine Corps?

Admiral Kino. I knew there were such amendments. I was not

Admiral King. I knew there were such amendments. I was not particularly taken with the phraseology.

Senator, may I say that I thought there were other people better qualified to pass on those things than I.

Since there has been general apprehension expressed in some quarters about the Marine Corps and naval aviation, I expressed the idea it would probably be well to safeguard those in the legislation more specifically. They are implicitly safeguarded now.

Senator Maybank. In keeping with what they have agreed on?

Admiral King. Yes.

Admiral Kino. Yes. Senator MAYBANK. It has already been agreed to.

Admiral King. Yes. The Charman. Are there any further questions?

Senator Byrd?

Senator Byrd. I think the admiral has emphasized a very important point here; namely, that the Council should comprise all those agencies of the Government which have directly to do with the political, the military, the economic, and the industrial factors involved in the common defense; and that the Secretary of National Defense, as outlined in the common defense. lined in this bill, has only to do with the military part of it.

Admiral King. That is right.
Senator Byrp. I do not think we should overlook that very fine Senator Byrd. I do not think we should overlook that very me point he has raised, which is the first time it has been raised in these hearings. If you look at this chart, the Secretary of National Defense has nothing to do with the National Security Council or the National Security Resources Board.

Admiral King. I am, so to speak, Senator Byrd, throwing the spotlight on the National Security Council. It has mostly been focused on the question of the Secretary of National Defense and his powers.

on the question of the Secretary of National Defense and his powers, but the National Security Council is the key of the whole situation,

in my view.

Senator Byrn. I think you have made a very important contribu-

tion to the thought along this line.

The Charman. We were glad to have you with us this morning, Admiral. Thank you very much for the time you have put in on this

Admiral King. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
The Chairman. The committee will hear now, and we are glad to have with us, Mr. Charles E. Wilson, who is known to all the land as president of the General Electric Co.

I understand you have put in some thought on this matter, Mr.

Wilson.

Mr. Wilson, do you have a prepared statement?

STATEMENT OF CHARLES E. WILSON, PRESIDENT, GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., NEW YORK, N. Y.

Mr. Wilson. I appeared before the committee once before, Senator, and I had a prepared statement. I frankly did not know what other

contribution I ma So, all I have po as Lunderstand
The Changes

or the committee committee last y this morning as and also go into ciative.

You may proc Mr. Wilson. new bill because old bill and the In my previo principal object outlined, and a the top and co centration of ac authority; that and independer tion to inefficien

What we nee I said I wou serve as the ad ghould be, inste the services, wi to the heads of

The propose a compromise i it is a splendid

First, that it of the Navy, a as I understan as individual 1 . Thus, to a c tional Defense

generally spea I think this of the motives the three divis preserved; tha at the top.

Then, certai of various kinas to submerge

Instead, eve retary of Nat the identity o involved.

This consid be put in ope lectively, and in the history

Marine Corps and nts that were sug-

lments. I was not

other people better

essed in some quarexpressed the idea the legislation more

have agreed on?

to,

s?

≈d a very important se all those agencies th the political, the rs involved in the nal Defense, as outart of it.

look that very fine been raised in these ry of National Derity Council or the

, throwing the spotnostly been focused mse and his powers, the whole situation.

Important contribu-

th us this morning, have put in on this

, and we are glad sown to all the land

on this matter, Mr.

DENT, GENERAL

nce before, Senator, ot know what other contribution I might give you today other than the testimony I gave. So, all I have prepared myself with is a few notes on the present bill, as I understand it, and impressions concerning it.

The Силимам. Well, I might say, Mr. Wilson, that the make-up

of the committee is entirely different as regards the members of the committee last year and this year. So, if you can refresh our memory this morning as to your best recollection of what you said last year and also go into details on this bill, we would be very much appro-

You may proceed in your own way.

Mr. Wilson. All right, sir. I will just give you my views on the new bill because I cover to some degree the impressions I had of the

old bill and the comparison with this one.

In my previous testimony before the committee I stated that my principal objection to the proposed unification plan as it was then outlined, and as I had interpreted it was that it merely started at the top and combined the two Departments into one, with a concentration of administrative authority in the hard pattern of military authority; that the cost of the evaluation of the importance and stature

and independent thinking of the separate Departments was an invitation to inefficiency, to authoritarianism, and to stultification.

What we need, I thought and still do, is less and not more rigidity.

I said I would question the good judgment of a man willing to serve as the administrator of the Army, Navy, and Air Force. Ha should be, instead, I believe, the coordinator of the three divisions of the services with a maximum of authority and responsibility flowing the services, with a maximum of authority and responsibility flowing

to the heads of the individual services. The proposed organizational plan under bill S. 758 is, as I see i a compromise from the original proposed set-up; and all in all, I think

it is a splendid scheme, for the following reasons

First, that it establishes a Department of the Army, a Department of the Navy, and a Department of the Air Force with coequal status, as I understand it, to be administered by their respective Secretaries as individual units

Thus, to a considerable degee, it seems to me the Secretary of National Defense will be substantially the over-all policy maker and,

generally speaking, the coordinator. I think this plan is practical and workable. It is my understanding of the motives of the framers of the bill that the identity of each of the three divisions, the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, shall be preserved; that this can be accomplished in spite of the consolidation

Then, certainly the general unification plan should have plus values. It would negate the obvious material benefits and potential economies of various kinds if the merging of the three services was carried out so

as to submerge the identity of each individual unit.

Instead, every consideration should be given, I believe, by the Secretary of National Defense as he coordinates the services to preserve the identity of each with due regard to the morale and cause spirit

involved. This consideration is due the services, for the unification plan will be put in operation just after each of the services individually, collectively, and cooperatively has successfully fought the greatest war

in the history of the world.

ord fine o these

23t 10 03 ad, the in the as out-

idased.

Magnificent spirit and morale and pride and faith in the corps that wins wars should not be lost through ineptness in establishing an organizational structure that disregards the human considerations and the general morale and the corps spirit, which attributes, incidentally, the organization we are eliminating or organizations we are eliminating have developed to a magnificent degree as evidenced by World War II experience.

I believe the establishment of the Munitions Board under the bill with duties and responsibilities as outlined is a splendid plan.

The same may be said for the proposed establishment of a research and development board.

This board would have the power to achieve great progress in the

assigned fields of endeavors.

In addition to speeding up the research and development programs of each of the three Departments, you can ultimately effect, I believe, great economies of money and time through coordination of programs, elimination of overlapping programs, and other inefficiencies, through use of an integrated program to the extent the latter is feasible and desirable.

The foregoing recommendations are made from some small experience in the Government service during the war years, and from the experience or an intimate relationship with all of the three Departments: The Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, in my own business

On the other hand, I claim no background of long experience to ask you to consider my recommendations regarding the proposed establishment of the National Security Council and the Central Intelligence Agency.

I have studied the organizational plan and purposes, and I think

both agencies are highly desirable.

I might add that as a member of the President's Committee on Military Training, I have listened to military experts for months on subjects within the purview of the proposed operations and responsibilities of these two agencies.

The testimony I have heard leads me to most enthusiastically endorse the establishment of both agencies referred to as part of the new

National Defense Establishment.

That is all I have to add, sir.
The CHAIRMAN. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.
You testified before the Naval Affairs Committee last year on May. So I commend to the attention of the committee members your testimony at that time.

Mr. Wilson, you were very close to the Government during the war not only with the War Production Board but in other capacities. I see you are continuing your service with your membership now on the President's Advisory Committee on Training. Mr. Wilson. Yes, sir.

The CHAIRMAN. What is your belief as to the necessity of making permanent by legislation these agencies we have found are necessary during wartime, such as WPB, Strategic Materials, and things like

Mr. Wilson. I think it is very desirable, sir, that we continue to have a framework, a workable framework available in case of an

operation The C and make the war, and this Mr. V

keep pla standing One o

war cha if the d

plans co ments would] that we

The (Dinow man wl cies the

In of tional I cies or

In yo there w in Secr it, he co

Here Mr.

of that I dis himsel would of war

I dcman ca conten

Sen: the de Sen

and o Mr. a plar

The

1 531

ics of professional cine and a common once when combat and farms, equipped issauce in force, for

high standards of ene, hard physical food and clothing. entire production deral Government e war effort of the

e direction of the of means to accom-vital principle, but

t with those of our led so much to the tes and the British accepted our muni-French and smaller re dependents than y that practice of ut an improvement

ing efficiency which

always will be lost under any system. solute dictatorship. chief will. History nated but rather is of last officiency is, whereas in a free xhibitions of initiaare our greatest the infantry platoon. used by pure human

tion which in theory

Civilian labor was es instead of being inder present condiwer was used rather en the most aristo-

did not attain per-

is lack of an overatelligence, research, e General Staff cofort, we still lacked

s not perfect, it was

look at the efficiency e a guess at our effi-icy—maybe less.

or war was coming. vasion of China, the the seizure of Ausoslovakia. But we

We had made no effect to icron may of our manpower. In 1940, it was still against the law to give my interact tradicie in the CCC—no Nazi goosestep for our boys. What was trade inverted in the CCC—no Nazi goosestep for our boys. What was trade inverted in the CCC—no Nazi goosestep for our boys. What was trade inverted in the CCC—no Nazi goosestep for our boys. What was trade in the foreign regimer attout for it, who had forgotten a militial heritage going back 1,500 years to the Aughodiaxon "hundreds." We frowned upon any measures to organize computent interagence pyrholes; no dirty spy business for America. We starved such research and development agencies as we had—the merchants of death should not fatten on our taxes. We just buried our head in the neutrality laws and refused to face the facts.

Yes, we were a hot 60 percent in 1945, but we were a chilly 10 percent in 1939, when the shooting began, and 4 am afraid we had better not be caught cold like that the next time. Any questious?

(Norz.—Charts and references to charts have been omitted in the foregoing extracts.)

Senator Robertson. The other two lectures in the pamphlet are devoted to the Army's attitude toward universal conscriptionmatter not directly germane to the present issue, but an essential element in obtaining positive military control over the manpower of

The CHAIRMAN. At this point I would like to interrupt the hearings to have inserted in the record a memorandum respecting section 202—central intelligence agency of the bill—submitted by Charles S. Cheston, 1421 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa., formerly deputy directions tor, Army Specialist Corps, and also Assistant Director of the Office of Strategic Services. The memorandum is as follows:

of Strategic Services. The memorandum is as follows:

Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, before giving you my specific suggestions in regard to section 202 of the proposed bill (II. R. 2319) providing for the creation of a central intelligence agency, I should like to express very briefly the point of view on which these suggestions are founded.

My basic concept is this: That from the standpoint of national security modern science and methods have annihilated one of the major bases of our defense; that is, the defense of space. It is now primarily a question of defense in point of time. By this I mean that any nation which is capable of striking a surprise blow with adequate resources and modern weapons (such as atomic warfare, chemical warfare, and bacteriological warfare, backed by air power with sufficient striking force), will have an excellent chance of winning with the first blow, perhaps in a matter of days. If this is not true of any nation today, it is certainly a likelihood to be reckoned with in the near future.

The all-importance of the time factor makes intelligence the key to defense. Hence, it follows that intelligence is far more vital today than it ever was in the past. Just as there is a need for new and fresh thinking about modern warfare, so there is a parallel need for new and fresh thinking about the increased importance of intelligence.

For this reason, on the question of national security alone, I view a correlativited effective reason.

importance of intelligence.

For this renson, on the question of national security alone, I view a coordinated effective central intelligence service as one of the major arms of our national defense establishment of equal importance to national security with the Air Force, the Army, or the Navy. If it is to be fully effective, it must therefore be given the status, authority, funds, and personnel with which to do its job adequately. In my opinion a so-called central intelligence service can no more do its job, if it continues to be related to a subordinate position in a department of some other branch or branches of government, than the Air Force could have done its job in World War II as a subordinate unit of one or more of the armed services.

It is my belief that from the standpoint of a national intelligence service we are unprepared today. I earnestly urge that in this section of the bill, as well as all its other provisions, full recognition be given to the fact that our problem is to create an organization equipped to deal, not with the problems of the last war, or of today alone, but with the future as well.

In my opinion there are three basic prerequisites for a central intelligence

In my opinion there are three basic prerequisites for a central intelligence

First, there should be a civilian director, who must of course have the necessary qualifications and background.

۸

There are three reasons why I am convinced that it is essential to have a civilan director.

The property of the property of the political, economic, technological, and ether intelligence with which the agency would be concerned are injuly specialized fields, gave with which the agency would be concerned are injuly specialized fields. They require specially trained per-sonnel-geophe in the field strong the property of the prop

times requir of policy, ti its own ope house for it ments. Wi ments. Wildefined dut sources and of informat Governmen upon which to improve tional and and operati whose prin offices.

The CI Senator what the. 23, 1946, ; visory cor The ins 1947, unl Army In relations The cu of the Di to be anr staff offic

each. Enlist .run conc . **15**0 publ set at 4 v arrange staff offic

concurre public-re

Assun course a tained, 1 numbers schools v with the Palmer, an unsus service, civilian

It was testimon in such power w third of coalition Final

stated e

≋scutial to bave

md other intellipecialized fields. evoted years to ions, people who say that they do ng officers in the ected to provide field of political ast turn to the erience is quali-nomic, and tech-

ter assurance of tlemen the vital e specialists are to develop pro inted director of y, Navy, and Air Ve have already n a period of 14 al, and I under-ner Navy officer, intment as little arged as part of timent as baving orders.

directors. be removed only ice of director as rable effect upon clop the esprit de sional group: intelligence work

e CIA from the historically has apply with force who has spent ed to ignore the but which are is that it should

any department e of the natural This means that ch agency is to ad State Departation, which is so y could in these ast of the duties such an agency, men who are rea career service. preparation, and service that has on the centrary it should attract. gency should be ties and responIt has been amply demonstrated that problems of peace and war in modern times require total intelligence. Each of the principal departments and agencies of Government requires information for the determination of basic questions of policy, the collection and analysis of which are entirely outside the sope of its own operations. It does not solve the problem to create a kind of clearing house for information gathered in the ordinary operations of the several departments. What is needed is an effective, integrated, single agency with clearly defined duties and authority to analyze and correlate information from all sources and, wherever necessary, to supplement existing methods of collection of information. Such an agracy must serve all principal departments of the Government and also bring together the full and comprehensive information upon which national policy must be based. It should not supplant existing intelligence units within the several departments. Every effort should be made to improve and strengthen these units wherever possible. The problem is national and not departmental. And it will not be solved by having the policies and operation of such an agency determined by a committee of Cabinet members, whose primary duty is to discharge the full-time responsibilities of their own offices.

The Catarrana. You may continue Senator Rebentson

The CHAIRMAN. You may continue Senator Robertson.

Senator Robertson. It is important that we should understand what the Army Information School is. It was established on January 23, 1946, as a special installation at Carlisle Barracks under the supervisory control of the Director of Information.

The instructions contained in the circular are effective until July 29, 1947, unless sooner rescinded or superseded. The objective of the Army Information School is to train competent personnel for public

relations and information and education staff sections.

The curriculum and doctrine of the school are under the supervision of the Director of Information. Although the quotas of students were to be announced by the War Department, Circular No. 28 prescribed staff officers' courses which were to begin on February 27, 1946, to run concurrently for 100 information and education personnel and 100 public-relations personnel; and set the length of courses as 8 weeks

Enlisted courses were prescribed to begin about October 1, 1946, to run concurrently for 150 information and education personnel and 150 public-relations personnel. The length of the enlisted courses was set at 4 weeks. From time to time the Director of Information was to arrange appropriate courses for groups such as commanders, senior staff officers, and instructors in service schools.

Assuming that the implied quotas of 200 officers per staff officer's course and of 300 enlisted men per enlisted course have been maintained, 1,400 officers and 2,100 enlisted men—to say nothing of the numbers of commanders, senior staff officers, and instructors in service schools who have attended the special courses. however, in the service schools who have attended the special courses. schools who have attended the special courses—have been indoctrinated with the militaristic tenets contained in these lectures of General Palmer, and have been assigned the duty of spreading the gospel to an unsuspecting public and to the more than a million men in active service, every one of whom is a prospective disciple once he returns to

It was this fact to which General Edson alluded when he said in his testimony that he did not overlook the powers of propaganda, even in such a democracy as ours. Nor, I would add, can we overlook the power which rest in the expenditure of \$11,000,000,000 a year—over a third of our annual appropriations—under control of the military coalition which this bill would establish.

Finally, in fairness to General Edson, I wish to point out that he stated emphatically that he is not opposed to unification; and that he

iemoved

ion, if you had any mending it so as to separate air force. basic, General.

in that that is not face it—one of the ritain has had, and ome along, is that re you have forces that area, you canet is in that region, ys a shadowland in nat no blueprint in

find a formula that neater devised new. ·lem.

that section some-

ak of ?

rd, so that we will order was printed b-we will have in ant to the President from the President 16, and reply from ary 16; and then a outlining the funcin the record at this n the printed form,

z White House, on, January 17, 1947.

age of January 6, 1947, the near future with National Defense. tary of the Navy have en the services on this

efforts and the armed on. I enclose herewith Secretary of the Navy ents of this agreement.

ler, agreed upon by the ropriate legislation by

ere engaged in drafting ation. These men, of

HARRY S. TRUMAN.

The President.

The President of May 31, 1046, we jointly submitted to you a letter which grave our respective views on the unior elements involved in establishing a greater measure of unilication of our armed forces.

In your letter of June 15, 1046, you expressed gratilication at the progress made in narrowing the zone of disagreement which had previously existed between the services and stated your position with reference to the essential points on which disagreement still existed.

In our opinion, the necessity for agreement between the military services is now even greater than at the time of our enrifer letter. We and our representatives have been meeting in an effort to secure further resolution, within the scope and the spirit of the statement of your position, of the views of the two Departments. We are pleased to report success in this undertaking.

We agree to support tagislation in which the following points are incorporated:

(2) There shall be a Council of National Defense, a National Security Resources Board, and a Contral Intelligence Agency (which already exists) as agreed by the Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy in their letter to the President of May 31, 1940.

(b) The armed forces shall be organized under a Secretary of National Defense so as to place the Army, the Navy, to include the Marine Corps and naval aviation), and the Air Force, each with a military chief, under the Departments of the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, respectively. Each shall be under a Secretary and, under the over-all direction of the Secretary of National Defense, shall be administered as an individual unit. The Secretary of National Defense, shall be administered as an individual unit. The Secretary of National Defense, shall be administered as an individual unit. The Secretary of National Defense, shall be administered as an individual unit. The Secretary of National Defense shall be existed to the other secretary of National Defense, any report or recommendation relating to his Secretary of National Defense, and

Respectfully yours,

ROBERT P. PATTERSON, Secretary of War. JAMES FORRESTAL, Secretary of the Navy.

For Release 2002/08/21 NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT

views expressed in this letter would not, in our opinion, be in the best interests

of the United States."

On June 15 President Truman sent blentical letters to Senators Thomas and Walsh, and Representatives May and Vinson transmitting occuments showing points upon which the War and Navy Departments had agreed and disagreed, and submitting his own recommendations on the items of disagreement. S. 2044 was revised, but opposition continuing, the President requested that the measure be decorred. be dropped.

9: Unified command announcement of December 16, 1946

ent nl

-111

5m

Ιt tal ≘at an

≒Ll-

gle

'ha ed. s: ry

old

npt On

ira

our

The War and Navy Departments of December 16, 1946

The War and Navy Departments announced on this date that unified command had been established in seven command arens in various parts of the world. The commander in each area was to be responsible directly to the Joint Chiefs of Staff. (The principle of unified command in theaters of operation was established after Fearl Harbor, and was generally applied during the war. It was not used in the Pacific area for the assault on Japan. With the end of the war the idea of unity of command in the field had gradually disintegrated.)

10. Presidential announcement of Army-Navy agreement, January 16, 1946

Letters to the President from Secretary of the Navy Forrestal and Secretary of War Patterson stated that agreement had been reached to support legislation providing for a Secretary of National Defense with general over-all direction over three departments—War, Navy, and Air—and for other coordinating agencies. There was also agreement on a draft of an Executive order assigning functions to the three branches of the armed forces. The President stated that in his opinion the agreement reached was "an admirable compromise," and "a thoroughly practical and workable plan of unification," and that he heartly approved it.

11. Transmittal of proposed bill by the President, February 26, 1947

In a communication directed to the Speaker of the House and Senator Vandenberg the President stated that the proposed bill had been drafted by representatives of the armed services and had the approval of the Secretary of War, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Joint Chiefs of Staff. He added:

"It is my belief that this suggested legislation accomplishes the desired unification of the services, and I heartily recommend its enactment."

PART II. SUMMARY OF MAJOR PROPOSALS

Beginning with the War Department plan submitted to the House Select Committee on Post-War Military Policy (Woodrum committee) in the spring of 1944, there has been a succession of proposals for the reorganization of the armed forces. Particularly significant in the development of the controversy were the proposal of the special committee of the Joint Chiefs of Staffs, April 11, 1945; the Eberstadt plan; the plans presented by the War Department at the hearings of the Senate Committee on Military Affairs; the President's proposals of December 19, 1945; the Thomas-Hill-Austin bill (S. 2044); the President's plan of June 15, 1946; and the draft of a proposed bill submitted to the Congress by the President February 26, 1947. There follows a summary of each of these proposals.

1. 1944 War Department proposal

This proposal had three general features:
(a) There would be a single Department of Armed Forces headed by a Secretary who would be the principal adviser to the President and the Congress on political and administrative matters relating to national defense. There would be Under Secretaries for the Army, Navy, and Air, and also a Director of Common Supply Services.

be Under Secretaries for the Army, tray, and an, and the services.

(b) There would be a United States Joint Chiefs of Staff headed by a Chief of Staff and including the Chiefs of Staff of the Army, Navy, and Air Forces. The Director of Common Supply Services would be included on a subordinate status. The Joint Chiefs of Staff would be the President's military, advisers and would report directly to him in the field of military strategy and on budgatery recommendations and the allocation of appropriated funds. budgetary recommendations and the allocation of appropriated funds.

See testimony of Lieutenant General McNarney, hearings before the Select Commit Post-War Military Policy, House of Representatives, 78th Cong., 2d sess., pt. I, pp. 34-

2. Report of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Special Committee for Reorganization of National Defense

The recommendations of the majority of the special committee go into considerable detail. The essential features of the plan recommended are as follows:

(a) There would be a single Department of Armed Forces headed by a civilian Secretary, who would be the principal advisor to the President on the political, economic, and industrial aspects of military problems, he responsible for the administration of the Department, and act as a member of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Directly responsible to him would be a Commander of the Armed Forces, an Under Secretary for business matters, and several Assistant Secretaries for staff functions.

Armed Forces, an Under Secretary for business matters, and several Assistant Secretaries for staff functions.

(b) There would be an Under Secretary for business matters, occupying roughly the same position for such matters as the Commander of the Armed Forces has for military mattes. He would have such Assistant Secretaries as might be required for the various duties that would have to be performed.

(c) There would be one military Commander of the Armed Forces who would also be Chlef of Staff to the President and a member of the United States Chiefs of Staff. He would have responsibility for the decision of all questions involving command and military control of the armed forces. He would have a staff which would be concerned with matters involving more than one component. There would also be Deputy Chiefs of Staff for personnel, intelligence, plans and operations, and logistics.

(d) There would be three coordinate components—Army, Navy, and Air Force—each headed by a single commander, and each having a considerable measure of autonomy in operation.

measure of autonomy in operation.

(e) There would be a United States Chiefs of Stat' to advise the President on broad matters of military strategy and on budgetary matters. The members would be the Secretary, the Commander of the Axmed Forces, and the Commanders of the three components.

3. Eberstadt report recommendation '

+ 11

8. Eberstadt report recommendation*

 (a) Three coordinate departments—War, Air, and Navy—were recommended. Each would have a civilian secretary, a civilian under secretary, and such assistant secretaries as might be needed, commanded by a military officer. Naval and Army air arms would be retained.
 (b) A National Security Council would be established to link up military and foreign policy. It would be composed of the Secretaries of State, War, Navy, and Air, the chairman of the National Security Resources Board, and the joint chiefs of staff. The President would be the chairman, and in his absence the Vice President or the Secretary of State. The council would have a permanent secretariat. It would have policy-forming and advisory functions and would, among other things, advise on the combined military budget. The Central Intelligence Agency would be a part of it.
 (c) The joint chiefs of staff would be given a statutory basis and would advise on strategy, logistic plans, and budgetary matters. In these areas the joint chiefs of staff would constitute the major coordinating mechanism for the three departments.

three departments.

(d) A National Security Resources Board would be set up to work out industrial and civilian mobilization plans. It would be composed of representatives of the War, Navy, and Air Departments, the chairman of the Military Munitions Board, and representatives of such other temporary or regular agencies as might be involved. The chairman would be an appointee of the President with full power of decision.

Rearings before the Committee on Military Affairs, U. S. Senate, 79th Cong., 1st sees., pp. 411 ff., chart opposite 412.

See report to Hon. James Forrestal on Unification of the War and Navy Departments and Postwar Organization for National Security, Senate committee print, 79th Cong., 1st sees., pp. 6 ff., also chart opposite p. 6.

(c) A Military Munic Army-Navy Munitions B procurement and logistic of the three departments have full power of decision

TAN

nave full power of decision procurement and logically and development. A civiand military research.

(g) A Military Education Chiefs of Staff, won be composed of representationary to and an initial process.

advisory board on military (A) A Central Intelligence Security Council.

4. War Department (Co)

The War Department

hearings in 1045 was a mittee of the joint chief (a) There would be secretary, a civilian moi taries to coordinate secre plans, and legislative aff

(b) A Chief of State or personnel matters, into-mand of the military ap-(e) Under the Chief or components, each header case of the Navy). Each tonomy and would retain consult directly with the

consult directly with the also be under the directle (d) There would be a under the Chief of Staff (e) The United State President, the Chief of Startecy, and budgetary the President through ti ber could report to the Pi (f) The Chief of Staff Forces would not be tak terms as well as those of in order to insure rotation.

5. President Truman's fi

(a) There would be a (e) There would be secretary. A civilian U les, would be available f Secretary might determin (b) The Department Assistant Secretary. Thated aviation, and the (c) The President an tablish central coordination.

The details should not be decision.

(a) There would be a

for each of the three com body to the President as

department have over-all a. hospitulizaeducation in Inistering the develop in an

rganization of

re as follows: headed by a sident on the me responsible of the Joint mader of the ral Assistant

of the Armed lecretaries as

s who would States Chiefs ons involving a staff which nent. There ≥, plans and

vy, and Air considerable

ne President The members nd the Com-

∋commended. and such aslicer. Naval

military and r. Navy, and - Joint chiefs permanent and would, Central In-

and would e areas the

k out indus resentatives itary Muni-agencies as sident with

ng., 1st sess.,

NATIONAL DELENSE ESTABLISHMENT Afteroved For Release 2002/98/23 CIA-RDPS0100610Resemble 100

(c) A Military Munitions Board, with functions broader than those of the Army-Navy Munitions Board, would act as a coordinating agency in the field of procurement and logistics. Its members would be the civilian under secretaries of the three departments. A civilian chairman appointed by the President would have full power of decision. The committee would supervise all joint committees on procurement and logistics matters.

(f) Each department would have an assistant secretary for scientific research and development. A civilian research agency was recommended to link civilian and military research.

and military research.

(g) A Military Education and Training Board, under the supervision of the joint Chiefs of Staff, would coordinate military education and training. It would be composed of representatives of the three departments, and would have an advisory board on military education and training.

(h) A Central Intelligence Agency would be established in the National Security Council.

4. War Department (Collins) plan*

4. War Department (Collins) plan*

The War Department plan proposed at the Senate Military Affairs Committee hearings in 1945 was a modification of the plan recommended by the special committee of the joint chiefs of staff.

(a) There would be a single Department of Armed Forces, with a civilian secretary, a civilian under secretary, and three or more civilian assistant secretaries to coordinate scientific research, procurement and industrial mobilization plans, and legislative affairs and information.

(b) A Chief of Staff of the Armed Forces, assisted by a small staff for military personnel matters, intelligence, joint training, and logistics, would be in command of the military aspect of the department, under the secretary.

(c) Under the Chief of Staff of the Armed Forces would be the three major components, each hended by a chief of staff (Chief of Naval Operations in the case of the Navy). Each component would have a considerable measure of autonomy and would retain its own service system. The secretary would be able to consult directly with the three chiefs of staff. The theater commanders would also be under the direction of the Chief by Staff of the Armed Forces.

(d) There would be a Director of Common Supply and Hospitalization, also under the Chief of Staff of the Armed Forces, and the Chief of Staff of the President, the Chief of Staff of the Armed Forces, and the Chief of Staff of the three components, would have powers of recommendation on military policy, strategy, and budgetary requirements. Their recommendations would go to the President through the Secretary, with his comments. Any dissenting member could report to the President through the Secretary.

(f) The Chief of Staff to the President and the Chief of Staff of the Armed Forces would not be taken from the same component at any given time. Their terms as well as those of the Chiefs of Staff of the components would be limited in order to insure rotation of office.

5. President Truman's first plan

(a) There would be a single Department of National Defense, with a civilian Secretary. A civilian Under Secretary, and several civilian Assistant Secretaries, would be available for assignment to whatever duties the President and the Secretary might determine from time to time.

(b) The Department would have three coordinate branches, each under an Assistant Secretary. The Navy would retain its own carrier-ship and waterbased aviation, and the Marine Corps.

(c) The President and the Secretary would be given ample authority to establish central coordinating and service organizations, military and civilian. The details should not be specified in legislation, but should be left to executive decision.

(d) There would be a Chief of Staff of National Defense and a commander

for each of the three components.

(6) The Chief of Staff and the three commanders would constitute an advisory body to the President and the Secretary. The position of Chief of Staff would

hearings before the Committee on Military Affairs, U. S. Scnate, 79th Cong., 1st p. 158 ft., also chart opposite p. 156. 2828 to Congress from the President, December 19, 1046, daily Congressional Record,

Approved For Reidase 2002/08/23; CIA-RDF90;00e10R000400910001-3

Approved For Release 2002/88/26 TOA-RDF 20-008 TO ROSO rotate among the three components. The President and the Secretary would

rotate among the three components. The Freshelm and the accuracy would communicate directly with the three commanders.

(f) It was recommended that the State-War-Navy Coordinating Committee be continued, and that following reorganization of the armed forces military research be coordinated under one agency, and a Government-wide intelligence. service be established.

6. The Thomas-Hill-Austin bill (S. 2044, 79th Cong., 2nd sess.)

6. The Thomas-Hill-Austin bill (S. 2044, 79th Cong., 2nd sess.)

(a) There would be a single Department of Common Defense, headed by a civilian Secretary. The War and Navy Departments would he abolished. The Department of Common Defense would have a civilian Under Secretary and a civilian Secretary, without Cabinet status, for each of the three components—Army, Navy, and Air Force.

(b) Four Assistant Secretaries, under the Secretary, would supervise and coordinate scientific research and development; intelligence activities; procurement, logistics, industrial mobilization, and hospitulization; and educational and training activities.

(c) A Chief of Staff of Common Defense would act as the Secretary's chief military adviser, and would also act as chief military adviser of the President. The appointment would be rotated. The Chief of Staff would have a small staff.

(d) A Chief of Staff of Common Defense and the commanding officers of the three components would constitute a Joint Staff of the Department. The Joht Staff would make recommendations to the President through the Secretary on military policy, strategy, and budgetary requirements. The Secretary could attach his comment on these recommendations. Any one of the three commanding officers could report to the President after consideration by the Joint Staff, through the Secretary, who could add his comment to those of the Joint Staff.

Staff.

(c) The President would be authorized to reorganize the Department and its military components under the provisions of the Reorganization Act of 1945, with the proviso that no component could be abolished.

(f) A Council of Common Defense would be set up as an independent agency, to coordinate foreign and military policy. It would be headed by the Secretary of State and would include the Secretary of Common Defense and the Chairman of the National Security Resources Board. It would have a permanent secretary with an executive secretary.

tariat with an executive secretary.

(p) There would also be a National Security Resources Board for industrial and civilian mobilization planning. This Board would be under the authority of the Council of Common Defense.

(h) A Central Intelligence Agency, operating as an agency of the Council of Common Defense, would compile, analyze, evaluate, and disseminate information gathered by civillan and military agencies.

7. President Truman's second plan.

This plan contained 12 points. Eight represented agreement between the War and Navy Departments. On 4 there was disagreement. (a, b, c, and d)

- below.)

 (a) There would be a single Department of National Defense with a civilian of Secretary. Each of the three services would have a civilian Secretary, who would not have a seat in the Cabinet. The civilian Secretaries would be members of the Council of Common Defense. (The Navy Department opposed a single Department.)

 (b) The three services—Army, Navy, and Air Forces—would have parity.)

 (c) The Navy Department preferred to preserve the two-department arrangements.)

 (c) The Navy would retain some naval aviation, but not as much as it wanted.

 (d) The Marine Corps would remain in the Navy with its mission intact. (The War Department position would have reduced its mission.)

(d) The Marine Corps would remain in the Mary with its mission in act. (The War Department position would have reduced its mission.)

On the remaining eight points there was agreement.

(c) A Council of National Defense, consisting of the Secretary of State, the Secretary of National Defense, the civilian Secretarics of the three military services, and the Chairman of the National Security Resources Board, would integrate foreign and military policies.

Sune 20, 1946.

See letter from President Truman to Senators Thomas and Walsh, and Representatives
May and Vinson, June 15, 1946.

fense, would establish of the Nation's resource posed of representative (f) The Joint Chief.

responsibilities to the S dations on budgetary at (h) There would be

agreed to drop this feating to A. Central Intell would compile, audity

civilian agencies.

(i, k, l) Agencies we curement, scientific restary education and train

8: Druft of proposed bi

- (a) A National Def Beckerary of National pariments of the Navy. other agencies created Delease would established departments and agen agencies; and formula: the budget program.

 (b) The Departmen election of the Secret
- nai naits by their resp Departments would be mendation relating to
- tional Defense.

 (c) The Secretary more than four special might be required to ment. He would be stories of the Army, N

officers of the Army, N
and personal aids;
(d) A Department
States Air Force. Tra
Air Forces; the Air C
Air Force (Air Force (
(e) A War Council
ment. It would be co
of the three Departme
The Secretary of Nati
would navise the Sec
(f) The Joint Chief
Departments and the
be established within
and direction of the

and direction of the Joint Chiefs of Staff v tion; prepare logistic formulate policies for of the military forces Staff Committee of ti President and the Sc

Staff would be a Joint mately equal numbers (p) A Munitions B Secretary of National from each of the threather listablishment wi

distribution, and other (h) A Research an Establishment to coor advise the Secretary 300

As reported out by the Senate Military Affairs Committee, May 13, 1946. See S. Rept. p. 1323, 79th Cong., 2d sess., which accompanied S. 2014. Substantial amendments were oposed following the President's recommendations of June 15. See committee print of the 20, 1946.

ed by a ed. The ed. The

ise and procure onal and

w's chief resident. all staff. -s of the he Joint ctary on ry could ree com-he Joint he Joint

k and its J45, with

t agency, Secretary Chairman

ndustrial authority

ouncil of ormation

ween the c, and d

ary, who be mem--pposed a

e parity. gements.) ct. (The

State, the military rd, would

re S. Rept. ee print of esenta tives (1) A National Security Resources Board, under the Council of National Defense, would establish and keep up to date policies and programs for the use of the Nation's resources in support of our national security. It would be composed of representatives of the military services and other appropriate agencies.

(2) The Joint Chiefs of Staff would formulate strategic plans, assign logistic responsibilities to the Services, integrate military programs, and make recommendations on budgetary matters. It would be the highest source of military advice.

(b) There would be no single military Chief of Staff. (The War Department agreed to drop this feature.)

agreed to drop this feature.)

(i) A Central Intelligence Agency, under the Council of National Defense,
would compile, analyze, and evaluate information gathered by military and

(j, k, l) Agencies would be established to coordinate military supply and procurement, scientific research and development in the military services, and military services, and military services. tary education and training.

8. Draft of proposed bill submitted by the President, February 26, 1947

c. Draft of proposes our summittee of the president, regrain 20, 494(
(a) A National Defense Establishment would be created headed by a civilian secretary of National Defense. The Establishment would consist of the Departments of the Navy, Army, (instead of War) and Air Force, together with all other agencies created within the establishment. The Secretary of National Defense would establish policies and programs for the establishment and for the departments and agencies therein; exercise authority and control over such agencies; and formulate and finally determine the budget program.

agencies; and formulae and many state of the Navy, and the Air Force, under the budget program.

(b) The Departments of the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, under the direction of the Secretary of National Defense, would be administered as individual units by their respective Secretaries. The Secretary of any one of the three Departments would be permitted to present to the President any report or recommendation relating to his Department, after so informing the Secretary of National Defense.

tional Defense.

(c) The Secretary of National Defense would be authorized to appoint not more than four special civilian asistants, and such other civilian personnel as might be required to perform the functions of the National Defense Establishment. He would be specifically prohibited from establishing a military staff, but officers of the Army, Navy, and Air Force could be detailed to him as assistants

ment. He would be specifically prohibited from establishing a military staff, but officers of the Army, Navy, and Air Force could be detailed to him as assistants and personal alds.

(d) A Department of the Air Force would be created, containing the United States Air Force. Transferred to the United States Air Force would be the Army States Air Force. Transferred to the United States Air Force would be the Army Air Force (Air Force Combat Command).

(e) A War Council would be set up within the National Defense Establishment. It would be composed of the four Secretaries, and the Chiefs of Staff of the three Departments (Chief of Naval Operations in the case of the Navy), of the three Departments (Chief of Naval Operations in the case of the Navy), of the Secretary of National Defense would have power of decision. The Council Would advise the Secretary of National Defense on matters of broad policy.

(f) The Joint Chiefs of Staff, consisting of the Chiefs of Staff of the three Departments and the Chief of Staff to the Commander in Chief, if any, would be established within the Defense Establishment. Subject to the authority and direction of the President and the Secretary of National Defense, the Joint Chiefs of Staff would prepare strategic plans and provide strategic direction; prepare logistic plans; establish unified area commands when niceded; formulate policies for joint training; review material and personnel requirements of the military forces; provide United States representation on the Military Staff Committee of the United Nations; and act as military advisers to the President and the Secretary of National Defense. Under the Joint Chiefs of Staff would be a Joint Staff of not more than 100 officers, composed of approximately equal numbers of officers from the Army, Navy, and air forces.

(g) A Munitions Board, composed of a civilian Chairman appointed by the Secretary of National Defense and an Under Secretary or Assistant Secretary from each of the three military departments, would provide coordinatio

17.

Ė,

3

NATIONAL

NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT

Approved For Release 2002/08/23: CIA-RDP90-006-0R000-002-110004

(i) Outside the National Defense Establishment would be established a National Security Council, and under it a Central Intelligence Agency. There would be also established a National Security Resources Board. These agencies would coordinate military and civillan policies, programs, and plans in their respective fields. (See the Eberstadt recommendations and later proposals.)

(A copy of bill S. 758 is as follows:)

[S. 758, 80th Cong., 1st sess.]

BILL To promote the national security by providing for a National Defense Establishment, which shall be administered by a Secretary of National Defense, and for a Department of the Army, a Department of the Navy, and a Department of the Nr Porce within the National Defense Establishment, and for the coordination of the activities of the National Defense Establishment with other departments and agencies of the Government empermed with the national security

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States America in Congress assembled, mgreas ...

SHORT TITLE

That this Act may be cited as the "National Security Act of 1947".

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE I-THE NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT

Sec. 101. Establishment of the National Defense Establishment.
Sec. 102. Secretury of National Defense.
Sec. 103. Military Assistants to the Secretary.
Sec. 104. Civilian personnel.
Sec. 105. Department of the Army.
Sec. 106. Department of the Army.
Sec. 107. Department of the Air Force.
Sec. 108. United States Air Force.
Sec. 109. Effective date of transfers.
Sec. 110. War Council.
Sec. 111. Joint Chiefs of Staff.
Sec. 112. Joint Staff.
Sec. 113. Munitions Board.
Sec. 114. Research and Development Board.

TITLE II-COORDINATION FOR NATIONAL SECURITY

Sec. 201. National Security Council. Sec. 202. Central Intelligence Agency. Sec. 203. National Security Resources Board.

TITLE III-MISCELLANFOUS

Sec. 301. Succession to the Presidency.
Sec. 302. Compensation of Secretaries.
Sec. 303. Under Secretaries and Assistant Secretaries.
Sec. 304. Advisory committees and personnel.
Sec. 305. Status of transferred civilian personnel.
Sec. 306. Saving provisions.
Sec. 307. Transfer of funds.
Sec. 308. Authorization for appropriations.
Sec. 309. Definition.
Sec. 310. Separability.

TITLE I-THE NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT

* ESTABLISHMENT OF THE NATIONAL DEFENSE ESTABLISHMENT

SEC. 101. (a) There is hereby established the National Defense Establishment, and a Secretary of National Defense, who shall be the head thereof.

(b) The National Defense Establishment shall consist of the Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force,

主动为共和国 together with all other agencies

Sec. 102. (a) The Secretary civilian life by the President, b Under the direction of the Prafor the National Defense Estal therein; be shall exercise direct and agencies; be shall supervisionates by the departments and which shall be submitted to rect; be shall formulate and for Defense Establishment for subsupervise and control the busing under the applicable approprise supervise and control the budge under the applicable approprise Army, the Department of the 5-the direction of the Secretary dividual units by their respect-ing herein contained shall pre-presenting to the President, at Defense, any report or recomme deem necessary.

(b) The Secretary of Nation the President and the Congre-ments of the National Defense E as he shall deem appropriate.

as he shall deem appropriate.

(c) The Secretary of Nation for the National Defense Esta approve, and judicial notice sh

SEC. 103. Officers of the Arms assistants and personal aides t not establish a military staff.

SEO. 104. (a) The Secretary civilian life not to exceed four performance of his duties. Last the rate of \$12.000 a year.
(b) The Secretary of Nati service laws and the Classific the compensation of such other performance of the functions of

SEC. 105. (a) The Departm partment of the Army, and the Secretary of the Army. Chan activities of the Department

activities of the Department-determine.
(b) All laws, orders, regulment of War or to any officer shall, insofar as they are no deemed to relate to the Department of the Stablishment or to such office (c) The ter m "Department to mean the Department of the quarters, forces, Reserve counder the control or supervisi under the control or supervis

might be of is here, and to time. etary, is the

chart at the

isting of the Department se top boxese side of the

ie Secretary to establish shment and se direction, ncies; third rtments and -nal Defens**e** alget and to mal Defense

pear on this

I be adminunder direcne secretary t or recom-

retary Forf the Secretailed directure of the units by the of National

ed to a few

Department

Corps and . a Secretary l by a chier rent to the

gencies are of National just under,

nited States

of National Secretaries and military chiefs of the three Departments as members. That War Council is similar to the War Council in the War Department now provided by the National Defense Act of 1920, which consists of a ecretary of War, the Under Secretary of War, and the Chief of

Second, there is to be the Joint Chiefs of Staff, with the duties of preparing strategic plans, preparing joint logistic plans, establishing unified commands in strategic areas, making plans for joint training, and reviewing material and personnel requirements of the military forces, in accordance with strategic and logistic plans. That is this forces, in accordance with strategic and logistic plans. That is this box here on the chart. Under the Joint Chiefs of Staff there is to be a Joint Staff, not to exceed 100 officers, drawn in equal numbers from the Army, Navy, and Air Force.

That is, of course, like the present Joint Chiefs of Staff, created by Executive order and not by law. It will be an improvement, because the duties will be defined; and at the present time, the line between the powers of the Secretaries, the Secretary of War and the Secretary of the Navy, and the powers of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, is a very hard line indeed to draw.

I have also been of the view that matters that did not pertain to the Joint Chiefs of Staff got before them and other matters that should have come before them did not come before them, because the matter now rests largely upon custom and practice. Under this act there is written definition of the functions.

Third, there is a third joint agency, the Munitions Board, with a chairman from civilian life appointed by the Secretary of National Defense, with the duty of planning for industrial mobilization, of recommending assignment of procurement responsibilities with the aim of single procurement authority to cover technical equipment and common-use items, and of determining priorities between military and common-use items, and of determining priorities between military pro-curement programs. This agency is to replace the present Joint. Army-Navy Munitions Board. The functions of this Munitions. Board under the bill do not differ in substance from the powers of the present Munitions Board.

Fourth, there is the Research and Development Board set up in the National Defense Establishment, to prepare an integrated program of research and development for military purposes and to formulate policy on matters involving outside agencies in the research and development field. This agency will replace the present Joint Research and Development Board of the War Department and Navy Department. ment. That Board is a board that is set up by joint agreement of the two Secretaries, and it began to function about a year ago. Dr. Vannevar Bush is the Chairman of it.

In addition to the National Defense Establishment, the bill creates National Security Coursel Referring to the chart if I may that

a National Security Council. Referring to the chart, if I may, that is up in the top box there at the left, right under the President. That National Security Council is made up of the Secretary of State, the Secretary of National Defense, the Secretaries of Army, Navy, and Air Force, the Chairman of the National Security Resources Board and such other members as the President may appoint. The function of the Council shall be to advise the President on integration of foreign and military policies and to enable the military services and other agencies to cooperate more effectively in matters relative to

national defense. That council takes the place of the present socalled Committee of Three, which exists just by joint agreement of the Secretaries of State, War, and Navy at the present time. It has been operative for some time. It also takes the place of what they call the State, War, and Navy Coordinating Committee, composed of the Assistant Secretaries, which meets with regularity on stated days and has a small staff.

and has a small staff.

Under the Council is the Central Intelligence Agency, headed by a director appointed by the President. This is to take the place of the existing Central Intelligence Group, which was created by Executive order of the President about a year ago, under an agency called the National Intelligence Authority, made up of the Secretaries of State, War, and Navy and the Chief of Staff to the Commander in Chief.

The bill also provides for a National Security Resources Board, which is in the upper right-hand hox there, with a chairman and the

which is in the upper right-hand box there, with a chairman and the heads of executive departments or agencies designated by the President. The function of the Board is to advise the President on policies concerning industrial and civilian mobilization, on programs for

Anna de Maria

concerning industrial and civilian mobilization, on pregrams for effective use in time of war of natural and industrial resources for military and civilian needs, on policies for creating reserves of critical materials, and similar matters. I might say that that Board is for planning purposes only, advisory to the President, and it is on the planning level like the War Production Board of the last war.

Of course, it is restricted only to planning and policies and not to actual operations, in time of peace.

From this brief outline of the bill, it will be seen that the heart of it is the creation of a single establishment, the National Defense Establishment, to be made up of the Departments of Army, Navy, and Air Force and to be directed by a Scretary of National Defense, with broad power to establish policies and programs for all agencies in the Establishment, to exercise direction, authority and control over them, and to set up the budget estimates of the Establishment on a unified basis. The Secretary, as I see it, is to be an executive but not an administrator.

administrator.

Thave in mind a distinction between those two terms. It may not be in everyone's mind the same way, but as I see it, the Sceretary of National Defense is to be responsible for over-all programs and for comprehensive over-all planning but is not to attend to details or

matters of administration.

It is also important to note that the bill, in addition to establishing the Defense Establishment, makes provision for two other agencies. Those are the two up at the top. They are the National Security Council, and under it the Central Intelligence Agency, which is to integrate foreign policy and military policy and which is to succeed a less formal organization of the Secretaries of State, War, and Navy already in existence; and the National Security Resources Board, an advisory group to study problems of industrial and civilian

I will next trace the developments that led to the preparation of this measure. In general, they are in four stages. The first is this: As the war wore on, the impression became widespread that our national defense structure stood in need of reorganization. In April and May 1944 a special committee of the House of Representatives,

the Committee on Postwar M tion of a unified organization representatives, including Se a single department of the

a single department.
Navy, and Air Forces.
Second, following this, the to find out the news of the organization. plan of organization. large majority of those h need of a unified organization system. The board mad its 1945, to the effect that the single department, with three

Third, in the latter part of mittee held hearings on the introduced in April 1946 by creation of a single department the committee. The Seventy sideration of the bill on the fl

Fourth, the President, by 1945, urged passage of legislarmed forces. In May 1946 Navy to report to him as to sent a joint letter to the Prepoints agreed and points not of June 15, 1946, to the Secre on the points as to which t two Secretaries.

The Secretaries of War a an effort to arrive at a ful Under date of January 16, outlining a complete agreem Establishment. The bill be It has the approval of the W

As I see it, we have two is armed forces be advantageor present bill furnish a unif workable? I am convinced The need for a unified c

stated in a few sentences. field. It involves the emple and air—as members of a te bility for direction and ma concentrated, not divided as zation, as I see it, is as plain.

In earlier times the ope

separate in character that i Field activities that requi Army and the Navy were t

Two factors, far-reachin changed the situation and n

ace of the present so by joint agreement of se present time. It has the place of what they Committee, composed of gularity on stated days

c Agency, headed by a take the place of the s created by Executive r an agency called the he Sccretaries of State, ommander in Chief. rity Resources Board, th a chairman and the signated by the Presie President on policies ion, on pregrams for adustrial resources for creating reserves of say that that Board is President, and it is on ard of the last war.

be seen that the heart the National Defense. ts of Army, Navy, and dational Defense, with for all agencies in the and control over them, olishment on a unified executive but not an

and policies and not:

terms. It may not be it, the Secretary of all programs and for attend to details or

addition to establishn for two other ageney are the National igence Agency, which liey and which is to taries of State, War, il Security Resources industrial and civilian.

o the preparation of es. The first is this: lespread that our naanization. In April e of Representatives,

the Committee on Postwar Military Policy, held hearings on the question of a unified organization of the armed forces. War Department representatives, including Secretary Stimson, testified in support of a single department of the armed forces, with branches of Army,

Navy, and Air Forces.
Second, following this, the Joint Chiefs of Staff appointed a board to find out the views of the overseas commanders and to report on a plan of organization. The board traveled overseas and found that a large majority of those in posts of command were convinced of the narge majority of those in posts of command were convinced of the need of a unified organization, in place of the existing two-department system. The board made its report to the Joint Chiefs of Staff in 1945, to the effect that the military services should be united under a single department, with three branches, Army, Navy, and Air.

Third, in the latter part of 1945 the Senate Military Affairs Committee held beginning on the matter. As a result a hill 2 2004 and

mittee held hearings on the matter. As a result, a bill, S. 2044, was introduced in April 1946 by Senator Thomas of Utah, providing for the committee. The Seventy-ninth Congress adjourned without consideration of the bill on the floor of the Senate.

Fourth, the President, by a message to Congress on December 19, 1945, urged passage of legislation to create a single department of the armed forces. In May 1946 he directed the Secretaries of War and Navy to report to him as to the points agreed on. The Secretaries sent a joint letter to the President under date of May 31, 1946, listing points agreed and points not agreed. The President then sent a letter of June 15, 1946, to the Secretaries of War and Navy, giving his views on the points as to which there was lack of agreement between the

The Secretaries of War and Navy continued their negotiations in an effort to arrive at a full agreement. This effort was successful. Under date of January 16, 1947, they sent a letter to the President, outlining a complete agreement on organization of a National Defense Establishment. The bill before you is the product of that agreement.

It has the approval of the War Department and the Navy Department.

As I see it, we have two issues: First, will a unified structure of the armed forces be advantageous to our national defense; second, does the present bill furnish a unified structure that is sound, efficient, and workable? I am convinced that the answer is "yes" to both questions.

The need for a unified organization of the armed forces may be stated in a few sentences. The field of national defense is a single field. It involves the employment of the military forces—ground, sea, and air—as members of a team. This being the case, the top responsibility for direction and management of the military forces should be concentrated, not divided as at present. The case for a unified organization of the military forces should be concentrated, not divided as at present. zation, as I see it, is as plain as that.

In earlier times the operations of the Army and Navy were so separate in character that they could be conducted readily enough on independent lines. The boundaries were capable of easy definition. Field activities that required closely integrated movements by the Army and the Navy were the exception rather than the rule.

Two factors, far-reaching and profound in their importance, have changed the situation and made old forms of organization obsolete.

As to the effect of scientific and mechanical advances, the first factor the swift advance in mechanization and technology. The speed and is the swift advance in mechanization and technology. range of sea forces and ground forces are many times what they were a generation ago. A third force, air power, has come into the picture, with the swiftest speed and longest range of all, and with capabilities that even now are not comprehended. The simple truth is that air power does not fit into the old frame of Army and Navy. The value of air power to our national security requires that it be placed in a posi-

tion of parity with land power and sea power.

Science and invention have come up with other new weapons and equipment that have obliterated coastlines and made meaningless the former boundaries between sea operations and land operations. Dukw is an example—a heavy truck that takes a cargo from ship's side in deep water, swims to the coast, travels overland, and drops the cargo at the point of destination many miles inland. And the Dukw is not the final word in amphibious vehicles. You will notice the spelling, D-u-k-w. Of course, that is like these inventories that you see in

the Army, of pants, twill, and so on.

I understand that the D-u-k-w comes from this: "D" stands for 1942; "u" for utility; "k" for front-wheel drive; and I forget what "w"

stands for.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there someone in the audience who will please enlighten the Secretary?

Secretary PATTERSON. It has been suggested that it means "water-borne," but I doubt it. That is too obvious.

The second new factor is the stupendous demand that warfare in this day and age makes on our resources in manpower and materials. In the last war, it was brought home to us that there are limits to our resources. Ground forces, sea forces, and air forces make heavy and competing demands on the Nation's resources. It is of peremptory importance that the size and the priority of those demands be regulated. They cannot be regulated effectively unless a single authority has the responsibility for development of a comprehensive plan of national defense.

The fact that national defense is a single enterprise, with emphasis on the interrelation of the three forces—ground, sea, and air—was amply borne out in the last 5 years. In the campaigns of World War II the most notable characteristic was the teamwork of sea, land, and air forces. Time after time the Navy and Air Forces would clear the way, so that the Army could make the landings and fight its way forward. No one service won the war. The combined effort of all three together was decisive. In operations of that type, the need for interlocking activities was so plain that the task had to be viewed as a single job, with a single commander held responsible for results.

It became the rule to have unity of command in the field. commander, no matter whether he came from the Army, Navy, or Air, had under his control Army forces, Navy forces, and Air forces. The old idea of voluntary cooperation in military operations received its deathblow at Pearl Harbor.

In the advantages to be drawn from unified control, no sensible distinction can be drawn between the single campaign in a given region. and the sum total of activities of the armed forces. Both involve planning; both involve operations; both involve the supply functions

that are called logistics. of control in every area of o over the armed services at. The need for a unified o by considering the structu herent in it. The field is si

National defense is now Establishments the War each operating virtually or setting up its own requires each carrying out its own of it is true that here and Chiefs of Stuff, the Centrand Development Board, I the principal joint agencies practice, however, their so results rests in some cases

and willingness to coopera · I might explain, at this and Development Board. Wenpons Committee, unde Vannevar Bush. He told

did resign. Because, he s He was Chairman of the Chiefs of Staff, he said th in him, when it got above I manimity of agreement everything.

Secretary Forrestal and set it up as a joint body uthe Joint Chiefs of Staff.

To induce Dr. Bush to c word, our personal word. of us to govern the two I does not govern any subse

But we both agreed th us, just the same as if it v in which we could get re-work to be carried on by t tion of responsibilities b infernal overlapping tha think, well, but it rests up and me.

We cannot get effectiv During the war alone. They did not prevent co equipment. They did n you have separate esta lapping activities. of the committee represe they deemed of import just as much for the Arm

There was no executive stop the prolonged deba

nces, the first factor mes what they were ome into the picture, nd with capabilities ole truth is that air Navy. The value of be placed in a posi-

r new weapons and ade meaningless the and operations. a cargo from ship's rland, and drops the d. And the Dukw is will notice the spellories that you see in

his: "D" stands for nd I forget what "w"

ence who will please

nat it means "water-

and that warfare in power and materials. t there are limits to forces make heavy It is of peremptory

-se demands be reguss a single authority nprehensive plan of

-prise, with emphasis d, sea, and air—was paigns of World War ork of sea, land, and · Forces would clear ngs and fight its way imbined effort of all at type, the need for had to be viewed as insible for results. hd in the field. The Army, Navy, or Air, and Air forces. The

control, no sensible ign in a given region orces. Both involve the supply functions

erations received its

that are called logistics. The same reasons that necessitated unity of control in every area of operations overseas point to unity of control

over the armed services at the seat of government.

The need for a unified organization, I submit, will be appreciated by considering the structure we have at present and the defects inherent in it. The field is single, but the organization is double.

National defence is now the responsibility of two consents Military

National defense is now the responsibility of two separate Military

Establishments—the War Department and the Navy Department—
each operating virtually on its own; each making its own plans; each
eating up its own requirements in manifestation and conjugate the setting up its own requirements in manpower, money, and equipment; each carrying out its own designs in filling those needs

It is true that here and there we have interservice ties. The Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Joint Research and Development Board, the Joint Army-Navy Munitions Board are the principal joint agencies. These groups are doing good work. In practice, however, their scope is limited, and their power to achieve results rests in some cases on nothing more than mutual good feeling and willingness to accurate

and willingness to cooperate.

I might explain, at this point, the operations of the Joint Research, and Development Board. That had formerly been the Joint New Meapons Committee, under the Joint Chiefs of Staff, headed by Dr. Weapons Committee, under the Joint Chiefs of Staff, headed by Dr. Vannevar Bush. He told me a year ago that he was through, and he did resign. Because, he said, you could not get effective action. He was Chairman of that Board, and, in the report to the Joint Chiefs of Staff, he said that while the responsibility was concentrated in him, when it got above him, it branched out again into a body where unanimity of agreement was necessary. And, he said, that blocks

unanimity of agreement was necessary. And, he said, that blocks

Secretary Forrestal and I discussed it, and we decided that we could set it up as a joint body under the two Secretaries, and take it out of

To induce Dr. Bush to continue with the work, we each gave him our the Joint Chiefs of Staff. word, our personal word, that his decision would be accepted by both of us to govern the two Departments. That is not in the law, and it

does not govern the two Departments. That is not in the law, and it does not govern any subsequent Secretaries that come in.

But we both agreed that we would take his decision as binding on us, just the same as if it were our own decision. It was the only way in which we could get real coordination of research and development in which we could get real coordination of research and development work to be carried on by the two Departments together with an allocawork to be carried on by the two Departments, together with an allocation of responsibilities between them, to try to cut out some of this infernal overlapping that was taking place; and that has worked, I think, well, but it rests upon the personal word of Secretary Forrestal

We cannot get effective unity by reliance on the committee system

We note the war we created scores of Army-Navy committees. and me. alone. During the war we created scores of Army-Navy committees. They did not prevent competition in procurement of manpower and equipment. They did not prevent the waste that is inevitable when you have separate establishments maintaining parallel and overlapping activities. Why was that, you ask? Because the members of the committee represented frequently would not yield on a point they deemed of importance to their own service. And that goes just as much for the Army as it does for the Navy. just as much for the Army as it does for the Navy.

There was no executive, short of the President himself, with power to stop the prolonged debate and make the required decision.

Approved For Release 2002/08/23: GIA-RDR90

rai Eisenal Nimitz

think we I have the t General e that we

ENERAL, ī, D. C.

car from

own way dly have

ae lessons we must ner Pearl

ationally l started,

ent, in the

irements ir power

For ıse. onfidence enemies, ent they

ənfidence senals of

rganizaion; for ₌ble ene-

Council

lent and strategic But g-range

The bill provides for the fullest development of our capabilities in economics and science.

This will be the responsibility of the three boards to be established by the bill: The Research and Development Board, the National Security Resources Board, and the Munitions Board.

The knowledge produced by this combined effort will be available to all services. Full freedom of inquiry and full exploitation of the

results can only come by organized pooling of scientific knowledge.

During World War II our production astonished American citizens as well as our enemies. Our allies made us a gift of 2 years' time, however, in which to make this production ready for battle. In the future that productive expective consists of the productive expective for the productive expective or however. future that productive capacity can have a greater diplomatic usefulness for the prevention of war, provided it is organized for action, instead of being a potential requiring years to develop.

The bill provides for enlargement of our capacity to know the capabilities of our possible enemics—how they can attack us, and with what. The Central Intelligence Agency will serve all branches of the Defense Establishment.

The bill enhances our capacity for military readiness, the essence of security.

One requirement for readiness is new weapons which are most likely to be decisive. Air weapons, existing and possible, are characterized by a constantly increasing power of destruction, by increasing speed, by lengthening range, and by greater facility for technical and tactical surprise than ever before

and tactical surprise than ever before.

Another requirement for readiness is control of the air wherever our national security might be exposed to attack.

The bill provides the organization for this purpose by giving the Air Force equal status with the Army and Navy under the Secretary of National Defense.

To have the confidence required by national security we must be able

to control all approaches to our country.

Our capability for prompt and decisive air retaliation must be instantaneous. Such action must be closely coordinated with the Army and Navy to enable us either to capture, or to destroy by air bombardment, the launching sites on enemy territory from which might come airplanes, or guided missiles, or submarines.

In the last war, the Germans had perfected orthodox methods. They seemed impregnable on the Continent. The only way to break the will of their high command was to destroy its capacity for war. The chief flank the Germans had left exposed was the air. But to carry out the great bomber offensives necessary to destroy German's capacity in key industries, we had first to gain control of the air. That required 2½, years of build-up of force after Pearl Harbor. We won this control by destroying the enemy's air power in the air, on the ground, and in the factories

Thereafter, the destruction by bombardment of the enemy's warmaking potential made possible the invasion without prohibitive losses, insured our surface advance, and gave freedom of action to all forces-land, sea, and air.

In modern warfare, there is the land battle, the sea battle, and the air battle. For victory all must be closely integrated.

Our battle experience of nearly 4 years proved that control of the air is gained most economically by the employment of air forces